

Scotland's Rural College

## Peptide supplementation to nutrient-adequate diets enhanced internal egg quality during storage in hens at peak production

Olukosi, OA; Xiao, WW; Jia, J

*Published in:*

Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture

*DOI:*

[10.1002/jsfa.8661](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8661)

Print publication: 30/03/2018

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Olukosi, OA., Xiao, WW., & Jia, J. (2018). Peptide supplementation to nutrient-adequate diets enhanced internal egg quality during storage in hens at peak production. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 98(5), 1850-1855. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8661>

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

---

1 **Peptide supplementation to nutrient-adequate diets enhanced internal egg quality during**  
2 **storage in hens at peak production**

3 Running title: Dietary peptide effect on egg production and quality

4 Oluyinka A Olukosi<sup>a</sup>, Wei W Xiao<sup>b</sup> and Jing Jia<sup>b</sup>

5 <sup>a</sup>Monogastric Science Research Centre, Scotland's Rural College, Edinburgh, EH9 3JG, UK

6 <sup>b</sup>Mytech Biotech Co. Ltd., Chengdu, 610222, P.R. China

7

8 Corresponding author:

9 Oluyinka A Olukosi

10 Monogastric Science Research Centre, Scotland's Rural College,

11 Edinburgh, EH9 3JG, UK

12 Email: [oluyinka.olukosi@sruc.ac.uk](mailto:oluyinka.olukosi@sruc.ac.uk)

13 Tel: +44 1292-525103

14

---

**ABSTRACT**

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

**BACKGROUND:** There is paucity of information on the use of dietary peptides in laying hens and its effects on egg production and quality. In the current study, peptide from enzymatic hydrolysis of soybean protein was incorporated into laying hens diets to investigate its effect on egg production and internal egg quality.

**RESULTS:** There were no treatment effects on egg production (average hen day production was 96%) during the experiment. Final body weight of the hens increased quadratically ( $P < 0.05$ ) in response to peptide supplementation. There were no significant effects of peptide supplementation on internal egg quality of the fresh eggs. Peptide supplementation tended to increase yolk color ( $P < 0.10$ ) in eggs collected at 4 weeks of the study and stored at room temperature for 14 days. For the eggs collected at 8 weeks of the experiment and stored at room temperature for 14 days, peptide supplementation linearly increased ( $P < 0.05$ ) albumen height, Haugh unit and yolk index but linearly decreased ( $P < 0.01$ ) yolk width.

**CONCLUSION:** Peptide supplementation to laying hens at peak production, receiving diets meeting their nutrient requirement, did not improve hen production but positively helped to maintain hens' body weight and egg quality during storage.

**Keywords:** enzymatically hydrolysed soybean protein, peptides, hens, egg production, egg quality

---

35 **Peptide supplementation to nutrient-adequate diets enhanced internal egg quality during**  
36 **storage in hens at peak production**

37 Oluyinka A Olukosi<sup>a</sup>, Wei W Xiao<sup>b</sup> and Jing Jia<sup>b</sup>

38 <sup>a</sup>Monogastric Science Research Centre, Scotland's Rural College, Edinburgh, EH9 3JG, UK

39 <sup>b</sup>Mytech Biotech Co. Ltd., Chengdu, 610222, P.R. China

40 **INTRODUCTION**

41 Maintaining adequate protein and energy levels is essential to ensuring optimum laying  
42 performance and maintenance of body condition of hens during lay.<sup>1,2</sup> The objective of  
43 supplying protein is mainly to provide the amino acids however the presence of anti-nutritive  
44 factors in common feedstuffs may limit the use of intact protein in these feedstuffs and may  
45 increase nitrogen output to the environment.<sup>3</sup> Peptides, which are hydrolytic products of  
46 enzymatic or fermentation hydrolysis of proteins are very rapidly translocated in the intestine.<sup>4</sup> In  
47 view of the greater effectiveness of peptides compared to intact protein, they can help to more  
48 effectively meet animal amino acid needs and reduce nitrogen excretion to the environment.

49 Dietary supplementation of peptides may help support better growth performance and  
50 intestinal health than the feeding of intact protein such as soybean meal or fish meal.<sup>5,6</sup> Studies  
51 with fish larvae have shown the promise of peptide feeding in supporting more optimum growth  
52 performance.<sup>5,7</sup> Those effects are likely due to the more optimal utilization of peptides compared  
53 to intact proteins<sup>8</sup>. The use of fermented soybean has also been shown to be effective in  
54 enhancing growth performance and gut health of piglets and broilers.<sup>9-11</sup> It can be reasoned that  
55 the positive effects of these fermented protein sources on gut health and performance can

---

56 ultimately improve the quality of animal products. As previously noted for pigs and broilers, it  
57 can be expected that replacing part of intact protein in layer diets with peptides will also result in  
58 enhanced productive performance and product quality of the hens. However there is paucity of  
59 data on feeding of peptides to layers generally or of its effects on egg quality specifically.  
60 Therefore the objective of the current experiment was to study the impact of part replacement  
61 soybean in laying hen diets, with graded levels of soybean peptide, on egg production,  
62 performance during lay and egg quality of hens during the first phase of lay (peak production  
63 phase).

## 64 **EXPERIMENTAL**

65 All the animal experiment procedures in the current study were approved by the Scotland's Rural  
66 College Animal Experiment Committee.

### 67 *Peptide*

68 The peptide (Fortide®) used in the current experiment was derived from enzymatic hydrolysis of  
69 soybean protein. The production process of Fortide is as follows: the raw material was based on  
70 a certain proportion of dehulled solvent extracted soybean meal (SBM) and soy protein  
71 concentrate (SPC). The SBM and SPC were sterilised at 105°C for 30 min, and then cooled to  
72 50°C. The sterilised product was mixed with calcium hydroxide and alkaline protease,  
73 hydrolysed at 1:4 wt vol<sup>-1</sup> in distilled water, at 50°C and pH 8.0-9.0 for 10 h. The intermediate  
74 product after enzyme hydrolysis was subsequently dried at 90°C for 12 h. The chemical  
75 composition of the peptide is shown in Table 1.

### 76 *Birds, feed and management*

---

77 A total of 800 Lohmann Brown laying hens at 24 weeks old were used for the experiment to  
78 study the impact of dietary inclusion of graded levels of a peptide (Fortide®, MyTech Biotech  
79 Co., Ltd, PR China) on egg production, hen performance and internal egg quality during the first  
80 phase of lay. At the start of the experiment, the hens were allocated to four treatments. Each of  
81 the treatments had 10 replicate cages with 20 hens per replicate cage. The cages were enriched  
82 colony cages, and each cage was equipped with a nest, scratch mat and perches. No additional  
83 furniture was provided in the cages. The hens were allocated to cages to ensure that the initial  
84 body weight of hens was similar across treatments. The hens were housed in a facility that  
85 enabled regulation of temperature and humidity.

86 All the birds received diets (in mash form) that were formulated to meet the nutrient  
87 requirement according to nutrient specification for Lohmann brown hens (Table 2). The control  
88 diet was without any peptide supplementation whereas the remaining three diets were  
89 supplemented with 2, 4 or 8 g kg<sup>-1</sup> of the peptide. Feed and water were provided in ad libitum  
90 basis for the duration of the experiment. Birds were weighed at the beginning and end of the  
91 experiment whereas feed intake was monitored on biweekly basis.

### 92 *Egg production*

93 Total eggs laid were collected and weighed daily to determine egg mass and for calculation of  
94 hen day production. After weighing, eggs with defects (small, shell less, deformed, cracked)  
95 were noted and recorded.

### 96 *Egg quality assessment*

---

97 All the eggs produced per cage were collected at the end of weeks 4 and 8 of the study. Six eggs  
98 were randomly selected from the eggs produced per cage and were used for internal egg quality  
99 assessment. Three of the six randomly selected eggs were used for egg quality assessment on the  
100 day of collection (fresh eggs). The remaining three eggs per cage were stored on the bench in the  
101 laboratory (stored eggs) at room temperature (approximately 14°C) for 14 days before egg  
102 quality analysis was done on them. The procedure was repeated on eggs collected at the end of  
103 week 8 of the study. Consequently a total of 30 eggs were used per treatment at each egg quality  
104 assessment.

105 All the egg quality assessments were done using egg quality equipment QCM+™ and  
106 QCC™ (Technical Services and Supplies Ltd, York, United Kingdom). The egg qualities  
107 assessed by the equipment were albumen height, Haugh unit and yolk colour. Yolk height and  
108 yolk width were manually measured using Vernier calliper whereas yolk index<sup>12</sup> was calculated  
109 from data of yolk height and width using the relation:  $Yolk\ index = \frac{Yolk\ width + Yolk\ width\ at\ 90^\circ}{Yolk\ height}$ .

#### 110 ***Chemical analysis***

111 The diets were analysed for dry matter, crude protein and minerals using Association of Official  
112 Analytical Chemists (AOAC) procedures. Albumen was collected from eggs sampled at the end  
113 of weeks 4 and 8 of the experiment. The albumen was then lyophilized and subsequently  
114 analysed for crude protein content. Albumen crude protein content was expressed on dry matter  
115 basis.

#### 116 ***Statistical analysis***

---

117 All the data were analysed using the GLM procedure of SAS (2013) as randomised complete  
118 block design. Statistically significant means were separated using orthogonal polynomial  
119 contrasts.

## 120 **RESULTS**

121 Table 3 shows the egg production data during the eight weeks of the study. There were no  
122 treatment effects on egg production during any of the phases in the experiment. The hen day  
123 production averaged 96% and average egg weight was 58.9 g during the 8 weeks of the study.

124 Table 4 shows the effect of the treatment on feed intake, FCR and weight gain of the hens during  
125 the experimental period. There were no significant treatment effect on feed intake and egg FCR  
126 at any phase or the overall study period. Final body weight of the hens increased quadratically ( $P$   
127  $< 0.05$ ) in response to peptide supplementation.

128 Table 5 shows the data on internal egg quality after feeding diets supplemented with peptide for  
129 four weeks. There were no significant effect of peptide supplementation on any of the internal  
130 egg quality assessed.. The yolk color for eggs from hens receiving peptide-supplemented diet  
131 tended ( $P < 0.10$ ) be greater in eggs stored in room temperature for 14 days after collection.

132 The data on internal quality of eggs from hens receiving peptide-supplemented diet for 8 weeks  
133 are shown in Table 6. For the eggs assessed on the day of collection, peptide supplementation  
134 had no significant effect on any of the internal egg quality assessed. In the eggs stored at room  
135 temperature for 14 days, peptide supplementation linearly increased ( $P < 0.05$ ) albumen height,  
136 Haugh unit and yolk index. On the other hand, peptide supplementation linearly decreased ( $P <$   
137  $0.01$ ) yolk width in eggs stored in room temperature for 14 days.



---

## DISCUSSION

138  
139 The objective of the current experiment was to study the influence of a peptide supplementation  
140 to hen diets on egg production and egg internal quality at collection and during storage.  
141 Collection of data at 4 and 8 weeks after the onset of feeding of experimental diets enabled  
142 comparison of the response to peptide supplementation at these different periods. The diets were  
143 formulated to meet the nutrient requirement of Lohmann brown and were all based on a control  
144 treatment that was without peptide. The peptide (Fortide) was derived from enzymatic hydrolysis  
145 of soybean protein and added at graded levels to nutrient-adequate diets.

146       There are advantages to the use of peptide instead of intact protein because peptide  
147 transporter can more efficiently, from energetics points of view, transport 2- or 3-amino acid  
148 peptides than single amino acid.<sup>4,13</sup> From animal nutrition point of view, it has been suggested  
149 that feeding of peptides or fermented or enzymatically hydrolyzed protein feedstuffs improved  
150 growth performance, is an effective way of meeting amino acid requirements in low-protein diets  
151 and influences endogenous amino acid losses.<sup>14-16</sup> Aside from nutritional effects though, peptides  
152 also serve functional food roles and help to enhance gut health<sup>7</sup> or reduce stress response.<sup>17,18</sup>  
153 However there is a scarcity of reports on the supplementation of peptides in poultry diets.

154       The observation from the current study showed that there were no effects of  
155 supplementation of the peptide on egg production performance of the hens. This lack of effect  
156 was probably due to several reasons. First the hens were at peak production and clearly at such  
157 high production in the control diet, there was hardly any room for improvement due to peptide  
158 supplementation. Secondly the diets were adequate in nutrient and hence in the absence of

---

159 intense stress factor that could have impeded nutrient utilization there was hardly any negative  
160 effects that feeding of the peptide could potentially alleviate.

161         There were effects of peptide supplementation on internal quality of eggs without, as  
162 previously noted, any effect on production. Similar observation had been made in other studies.<sup>19</sup>  
163 It was observed that effects of peptide supplementation on internal quality of eggs were mainly  
164 observed after 8 weeks of feeding the experimental diets. This may suggest that it takes longer  
165 than 4 weeks for the peptide to exert its effect on egg quality. This apparent latent period for the  
166 peptide supplementation effect may depend on the nutritional status of the birds at the beginning  
167 of the trial period. It is reasonable to assume that provision of peptide supplementation prior to  
168 lay may be a way of ensuring that the positive effects of the additive are realized when egg  
169 laying starts.

170         Generally the positive effect of peptide supplementation on egg quality was observed in  
171 eggs that have been stored for two weeks in room temperature. It is well documented that eggs  
172 quality normally deteriorates in storage<sup>20,21</sup> with deterioration rate dependent on temperature and  
173 moisture content of the place where eggs are stored. The positive effect of the peptide was in  
174 helping to reduce deterioration of egg quality during storage and thus helping to extend egg shelf  
175 life in room temperature. Eggs from hens receiving peptide supplementation had higher albumen  
176 height and Haugh Unit. Haugh unit reflects the thick albumen content of the egg and the  
177 viscosity of the albumen reflects its ovomucin content.<sup>22</sup> Generally egg albumen becomes more  
178 watery (less viscous) during storage and this has negative consequence of lowering protein  
179 structural integrity,<sup>23</sup> albumen height and consequently Haugh unit. It is possible that protein  
180 quality, if not content, of the albumen deteriorates during egg storage<sup>20</sup> and that peptide

---

181 supplementation protected against that effect. This agrees with the nutritional importance of  
182 dietary protein in maintain egg albumen quality.<sup>24</sup>

183 There were also protective effects of peptide supplementation on the yolk. The yolk  
184 width was reduced with increasing level of peptide supplementation in eggs stored in room  
185 temperature for two weeks. This reasonably is indicative of strength of the vitelline membrane  
186 surrounding the yolk. Vitelline membrane that has lost its integrity allows for greater stretching  
187 leading to yolk with greater width and more prone to breakage. An uncompromised vitelline  
188 membrane is an important quality in the egg-breaking industry as it helps to prevent the  
189 contamination of the albumen with yolk. As eggs age, the loss of o-glycosidically linked  
190 carbohydrate units of glycoprotein causes both egg white thinning and compromised integrity of  
191 vitelline membrane<sup>25,26</sup> leading to deterioration of albumen and yolk membrane quality.

192 The hens receiving peptide supplementation in the current study had greater final body  
193 weight compared with those on the control diet. It has been reported that peptide  
194 supplementation influenced peptide transport and this may have overall effect on protein  
195 utilization,<sup>27</sup> possibly also influencing composition of gain. The composition of gain or the  
196 specific component where the gain preferentially occurred during the study was not investigated  
197 but maintenance of weight during egg production is important to ensure that high level of  
198 production during lay is ensured.

199

## CONCLUSION

200 Peptide supplementation to nutrient-adequate diets helped to maintain egg internal quality during  
201 storage and helped the hens to maintain their body weight during early laying phase. Because of  
202 the reported positive effects of peptide, it is possible that supplementation of the additive in later

---

203 phases of laying will help hens to maintain high production while also helping to maintain egg  
204 internal quality during storage. This aspect needs to be further investigated.

205 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

206 The authors acknowledge the assistance of Derek Brown and Irene Yuill for the care of animals  
207 used in the experiment, and Moira Webley and Allison Craig for egg quality assessment.

208

---

**REFERENCES**

- 209
- 210 1 Summers J D and Leeson S, Laying hen performance as influenced by protein intake to  
211 sixteen weeks of age and body weight at point of lay. *Poult Sci.***73**:495-501  
212 (1994).
- 213 2 Keshavarz K and Nakajima S, The effect of dietary manipulations of energy, protein, and  
214 fat during the growing and laying periods on early egg weight and egg  
215 components. *Poult Sci* **74**:50-61 (1995).
- 216 3 Khajali F and Slominski B A, Factors that affect the nutritive value of canola meal for  
217 poultry. *Poult Sci* **91**:2564-2575 (2012).
- 218 4 Kodera T, Hara H, Nishimori Y and Nio N, Amino acid absorption in portal blood  
219 after duodenal infusions of a soy protein hydrolysate prepared by a novel soybean  
220 protease D3. *J. Food Sci* **71**:S517–S525 (2006).
- 221 5 Zambonino Infante JL, Cahu CL and Peres A, Partial substitution of di- and tripeptides for  
222 native proteins in sea bass diet improves *Dicentrarchus labrax* larval  
223 development. *J. Nutr.* **127**: 608–614. (1997).
- 224 6 Cahu CL, Zambonino Infante JL, Escaffre AM, Bergot P and Kaushik S, Preliminary  
225 results on sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) larvae rearing with compound diet  
226 from firstfeeding. Comparison with carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) larvae. *Aquaculture*  
227 **169**:1–7 (1998).
- 228 7 Kotzamanis YP, Gisbert E, Gatesoupe FJ, Zambonino Infante J and Cahu C., Effects of  
229 different dietary levels of fish protein hydrolysates on growth, digestive enzymes,  
230 gut microbiota, and resistance to *Vibrio anguillarum* in European sea bass

- 
- 231                   (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) larvae. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol A: Mol Integ Physiol*  
232                   **147**:205–214 (2007).
- 233   8       Clemente A, Enzymatic protein hydrolysates in human nutrition. *Trends Food Sci. Tech.*  
234                   **11**:254-262 (2000).
- 235   9       Feng J, Liu X, Xu ZR, Lu YP and Liu YY, Effect of fermented soybean meal on intestinal  
236                   morphology and digestive enzyme activities in weaned piglets. *Dig. Dis. Sci.*  
237                   **52**:1845-1850 (2007).
- 238   10      Feng J, Liu X, Xu ZR, Wang YZ and Liu JX, Effects of fermented soybean meal on  
239                   digestive enzyme activities and intestinal morphology in broilers. *Poult Sci.*  
240                   **86**:1149-1154 (2007).
- 241   11      Jones CK, DeRouchey JM, Nelssen JL, Tokach MD, Dritz SS and Goodband RD, Effects  
242                   of fermented soybean meal and specialty animal protein sources on nursery pig  
243                   performance. *J. Anim. Sci.* **88**:1725-1732 (2010).
- 244   12      Obanu ZA and Mpiერი AA, Efficiency of dietary vegetable oils in preserving the quality of  
245                   shell eggs under ambient tropical conditions. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **15**:1311-1317  
246                   (1984).
- 247   13      Daniel H, Molecular and integrative physiology of intestinal peptide transport. *Annu. Rev.*  
248                   *Physiol.* **66**:361–384 (2004).
- 249   14      Xia ZGLLC and Yi LJZCT, Research on application of soybean bioactive components –  
250                   soybean phosphatidean and soybean peptide in feed of boiler chicken. *Anhui*  
251                   *Agricultural Science Bulletin* **18**: 092 (2008).
- 252   15      Lindemann MD, Cromwell GL, Monegue HJ, Cook H, Soltwedel KT, Thomas S *et al*,

- 
- 253                    Feeding value of an enzymatically digested protein for early-weaned pigs. *J Anim*  
254                    *Sci* **78**:318–327 (2000).
- 255 16    Hogkinson SM, Moughan PJ, Reynolds GW and James KAC, The effect of dietary peptide  
256                    concentration on endogenous ileal amino acid loss in the growing pig. *Br J Nutr*  
257                    **83**:421-430 (2000).
- 258 17    Wang T, Yong-Ming FU and Jun-Long L, Effects of mini-peptides on the growth  
259                    performance and the development of small intestines in weaning piglets. *Anim*  
260                    *Husband Vet Med* **6**:4-8 (2003).
- 261 18    Kwon IH, Kim MH, Yun CH, Go JY *et al*, Effects of fermented soybean meal on  
262                    immune response of weaned calves with experimentally induced  
263                    lipopolysaccharide challenge. *Asian-Aust J Anim Sci* **7**:957-964 (2011).
- 264 19    Trupia S, Winkler-Moser JK, Guney AC, Beckstead R and Chen CYO, Nutritional  
265                    quality of eggs from hens fed distillers dried grains with solubles. *Poult Sci*.  
266                    **95**:2592-2601 (2016).
- 267 20    Roberts JR, Factors affecting egg internal quality and egg shell quality in laying hens. *J*  
268                    *Poult Sci* **41**: 161-177 (2004).
- 269 21    Demirel Ş and Kırıkçı K, Effect of different egg storage times on some egg quality  
270                    characteristics and hatchability of pheasants (*Phasianus colchicus*). *Poult Sci*  
271                    **88**:440–444 (2009).
- 272 22    Omana DA, Wang JP and Wu JP, Ovomucin-a glycoprotein with promising potential.  
273                    *Trends Food Sci Technol* **21**:455-463 (2010).
- 274 23    Kirunda DFK and. McKee SR, Relating quality characteristics of aged eggs and fresh

- 
- 275 eggs to vitelline membrane strength as determined by a texture analyzer. *Poult Sci*  
276 **79**:1189–1193 (2000).
- 277 24 Novak C, Yakout HM and Scheideler SE, The effect of dietary protein level and total  
278 sulfur amino acid: lysine ratio on egg production parameters and egg yield in Hy-  
279 Line hens *Poult Sci* **85**:2195-206 (2006).
- 280 25 Kato A, Ogino K, Kuramoto Y and Kobayashi K, Degradation of the o-glycosidically  
281 linked carbohydrate units of ovomucin during egg white thinning *J Food Sci*  
282 **44**:1341-1344 (1979).
- 283 26 Kido S, Janado M and Nunoura H, Macromolecular components of the vitelline  
284 membrane of hens eggs. I. Membrane structure and deterioration with age. *J*  
285 *Biochem* **79**:1351–1356 (1976).
- 286 27 Walker D, Thwaites DT, Simmons NL, Gilbert HJ and Hirst BH, Substrate upregulation  
287 of the human small intestinal peptide transporter, hPepT1. *J Physiol* **507**:697–706  
288 (1998).
- 289  
290



291 **Table 1.** Ingredient and chemical compositions ( $\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ) of the experimental diets

Items	Diet numbers			
	1	2	3	4
Wheat	544.6	544.6	544.6	544.6
Maize	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Soyabean meal 48%	215.0	213.0	211.0	207.0
Soya oil	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
DL methionine	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40
Calcium carbonate	82.0	82.0	82.00	82.0
Dicalcium phosphate	12.0	12.0	12.00	12.0
Salt	1.80	1.80	1.80	1.80
Bicarbonate Sodium	2.90	2.90	2.90	2.90
Yellow carophylle	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Lucantin red 10%	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Ethoxyquin 66%	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
VTM premix*	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Phyzyme XP TPT	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Fortide	0.00	2.00	4.00	8.00
Total	1000.0	1000.0	1000.0	1000.0
Calculated nutrient composition				
Crude protein <sup>†</sup>	170.0	164.4	165.0	177.5
Metabolisable energy	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8

---



---

MJ kg <sup>-1</sup>				
Ca <sup>†</sup>	30.4	33.0	29.0	34.3
P <sup>†</sup>	4.7	4.4	4.7	4.8
Avail P	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Met	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9
Cys	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1
Met + Cys	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Lys	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2
His	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Trp	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2
Thr	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9
Arg	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.6
Ile	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7
Leu	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.4
Phe	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.1
Val	7.6	7.6	7.6	7.6

---

292 \* VTM (Vitamin and trace minerals) premix provided per kilogram of diet: Mn, 80 mg; Zn, 60  
 293 mg; Fe, 10 mg; Cu, 5 mg; Se, 0.15 mg; I, 1.0 mg; vitamin A, 6,000 IU; vitamin D3, 3,000 IU;  
 294 vitamin E, 5 IU; vitamin B12, 25 µg; vitamin K, 1.0 mg; niacin, 10 mg; folic acid, 0.30 mg;  
 295 pantothenic acid, 4 mg.

296 † Analysed content

297

298 **Table 2.** Chemical composition ( $\text{g kg}^{-1}$ , as is) of the peptide used in the experimental diets

Item	Composition
Acid soluble protein	285
Dry matter	920
Crude protein	$\geq 460$
Crude ash	$\leq 150$
Crude fat	25
Crude fiber	$\leq 70$
Nitrogen free extract	235
Ca	33
P	10
Amino acids	
Val	19.5
Leu	2.62
Ile	16.0
Met	9.4
Cys	8.0
Phe	21.0
Tyr	12.8
Trp	6.0
Arg	41.2
Lys	30.6

---

His	12.0
Thr	14.2
Gly	17.3
Ala	17.5
Pro	22.7
Ser	23.5
Asp	58.5
Glu	93.0

---

**Table 3.** Egg production response to peptide supplementation

Items	Peptide, g kg <sup>-1</sup>				Pooled SEM	P-value	
	0	2	4	8			
	Egg mass, g/hen daily	55.7	55.7	56.7	56.3	0.525	0.462
Wk 0 to 4	Egg weight, g	57.1	57.2	57.7	57.2	0.239	0.232
	Hen-day production, %	95.3	95.0	95.5	96.2	0.760	0.712
	Egg mass, g/hen daily	57.6	58.5	58.5	58.5	0.590	0.647
Wk 4 to 8	Egg weight, g	60.6	60.7	60.6	60.6	0.234	0.989
	Hen-day production, %	95.2	96.8	96.6	97.0	0.745	0.369
Wk 0 to 8	Egg weight, g	58.8	58.8	59.1	58.8	0.166	0.383
	Hen-day production, %	95.2	96.3	96	96.5	0.489	0.282

**Table 4.** Performance response to peptide supplementation in laying hens

Items	Peptide, g kg <sup>-1</sup>				Pooled SEM	P-value	Contrasts	
	0	2	4	8			Linear	Quadratic
Wk 0 to 4	ADFI	117.3	119.1	118.6	117.7	0.643	0.198	
	FCR	2.21	2.24	2.20	2.20	0.019	0.280	
Wk 4 to 8	ADFI	117.3	121.1	126.3	118.4	2.952	0.167	
	FCR	2.06	2.11	2.19	2.04	0.058	0.289	
Wk 0 to 8	ADFI	117.5	119.4	122.4	117.9	1.509	0.116	
	FCR	2.14	2.17	2.19	2.12	0.027	0.194	
	Final body weight, kg	1.93	2.01	2.01	1.97	0.021	0.050	0.538 0.011

ADFI – daily feed intake, g bird<sup>-1</sup>.

FCR – feed conversion ratio.

**Table 5.** Internal quality of the eggs collected after 4 weeks of feeding peptide to laying hens

Items	Peptide, g kg <sup>-1</sup>				Pooled SEM	P values	
	0	2	4	8			
Wk 4, d 0	Albumen height, mm	11.7	11.9	11.9	11.9	0.174	0.966
	Haugh unit	106.4	107.2	106.8	106.7	0.657	0.848
	Yolk width, mm	38.1	37.3	37.7	37.6	0.302	0.278
	Yolk height, mm	18.9	19.4	19.5	19.6	0.363	0.566
	Yolk index	0.99	1.04	1.03	1.04	0.018	0.201
	Yolk colour	10.1	10.2	10.1	9.51	0.207	0.124
Wk 4, d 14	Albumen height, mm	8.08	8.69	9.12	8.54	0.336	0.939
	Haugh unit	89.8	93.0	94.5	91.7	1.735	0.980
	Yolk width, mm	35.9	35.8	36.0	35.5	0.332	0.233
	Yolk height, mm	15.9	16.5	16.5	16.2	0.222	0.152
	Yolk index	0.89	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.013	0.760
	Yolk colour	9.20	9.20	9.53	9.23	0.188	0.098

---

---

Albumen CP*, g kg <sup>-1</sup>	859	859	862	861	2.90	0.860
---------------------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	------	-------

---

\* Crude protein expressed on dry matter content.



**Table 6.** Internal quality of the eggs collected after 8 weeks of feeding peptide to laying hens

Items	Peptide, g kg <sup>-1</sup>				Pooled SEM	P-value	Contrasts		
	0	2	4	8			Linear	Quadratic	
Week 8, d 0	Albumen height, mm	11.6	11.8	11.9	12.2	0.246	0.282		
	Haugh unit	104.7	106.2	106.2	107.6	1.070	0.291		
	Yolk width, mm	35.5	35.9	35.7	35.9	0.400	0.912		
	Yolk height, mm	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.4	0.343	0.606		
	Yolk index	0.993	0.996	1.030	1.030	0.019	0.656		
	Yolk colour	9.71	9.40	9.34	10.00	0.217	0.481		
Week 8, d 14	Albumen height, mm	6.87	7.09	7.03	7.12	0.176	0.029	0.020	0.046
	Haugh unit	81.9	83.5	82.8	83.3	1.064	0.015	0.005	0.097
	Yolk width, mm	36.7	36.6	35.6	36.2	0.451	0.033	0.004	0.760
	Yolk height, mm	20.2	20.4	20.1	20.5	0.340	0.857		
	Yolk index	1.10	1.12	1.13	1.13	0.020	0.047	0.006	0.975
	Yolk colour	8.70	8.50	8.70	8.80	0.156	0.296		

---

---

Albumen CP*, g kg <sup>-1</sup>	868	870	869	870	1.86	0.850
---------------------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	------	-------

---

\* Crude protein expressed on dry matter content.