

Scotland's Rural College

A case of cutaneous toxigenic *Corynebacterium ulcerans* likely acquired from a domestic dog

Othieno, Richard; Mark, Kate; Etherson, Michelle; Foster, G; Murray, Steven; Kalima, Pota; Fry, Norman ; Cameron, Claire; Strachan, Jenni

Published in:
Access Microbiology

DOI:
[10.1099/acmi.0.000025](https://doi.org/10.1099/acmi.0.000025)

Print publication: 01/09/2019

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Othieno, R., Mark, K., Etherson, M., Foster, G., Murray, S., Kalima, P., Fry, N., Cameron, C., & Strachan, J. (2019). A case of cutaneous toxigenic *Corynebacterium ulcerans* likely acquired from a domestic dog. *Access Microbiology*, 1(7). <https://doi.org/10.1099/acmi.0.000025>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

A case of cutaneous toxigenic *Corynebacterium ulcerans* likely acquired from a domestic dog

Richard Othieno^{1,*}, Kate Mark^{1,†}, Michelle Etherson^{1,†}, Geoffrey Foster², Steven Murray², Pota Kalima¹, Norman K. Fry³, Claire Cameron⁴ and Jenni Strachan¹

Abstract

Introduction. *Corynebacterium ulcerans* can produce diphtheria toxin and although still rare, is now the predominant cause of toxigenic diphtheria infection in the UK, making this organism of great clinical and public health importance. Here we describe a cutaneous case, likely secondary to domestic animal contact.

Case presentation. A 60-year-old female presented with a slow-healing finger-burn wound. A skin swab cultured *Corynebacterium ulcerans*, which was confirmed to be toxin producing. She resided with her partner and two dogs, one of which had a chronic skin lesion. Her most recent diphtheria vaccine was in 2009. Four close contacts were identified, two of whom were healthcare professionals, and nose and throat swabs were obtained. The patient was treated with clarithromycin (14 day course), diphtheria vaccine and excluded from work until completion of antibiotics and negative clearance swabs. Contacts were given erythromycin (7 day course), vaccinated and healthcare worker contacts excluded from work until swab negative. A veterinary practitioner swabbed the throats and a skin lesion of their dogs. One contact (partner of patient) and all dog swabs were positive. Partial allelic profiles from MLST supported an epidemiological link. The dogs were treated with antibiotics and antimicrobial skin wash. Repeat swabs for the index case, contact and both dogs were negative following treatment.

Conclusion. This was a rare case of cutaneous diphtheria secondary to *Corynebacterium ulcerans* with domestic animals the most likely source, although human-to-human contact could not be excluded, with important human and animal public health implications.

INTRODUCTION

Corynebacterium ulcerans is an aerobic Gram-positive bacillus, which is capable of producing diphtheria exotoxin making identification of this organism of great clinical and public health importance. It is a zoonotic infection, historically associated with cattle, and other domestic animals including cats and dogs [1, 2]. *Corynebacterium ulcerans* has also been recovered from wild animals, including otters in Scotland and England [3]. Infection with *C. ulcerans* can cause the clinical syndromes of respiratory or cutaneous diphtheria. Cases of diphtheria may present with skin lesions that are indicative of cutaneous diphtheria, or respiratory symptoms associated with pseudo-membranes covering the trachea or bronchi [4, 5].

Human infection with *C. ulcerans* can be fatal and four deaths have occurred in the UK between 1986 and 2014 [6]. Although extremely rare, with 11 cases of diphtheria caused by *C. ulcerans* occurring in Europe in 2012 [7], the frequency and severity of infections associated with *C. ulcerans* appears to be increasing [2]. In addition, *C. ulcerans* has been the predominant cause of toxigenic infection in the UK since the 1990s, when *C. diphtheriae* was more common [8]. The reasons for this change in epidemiology is not clear, but may be related to pet ownership, as it is estimated that half of all UK households own a pet [9]. The majority of cases of *C. ulcerans* associated with zoonotic infection occurred in adults who had been partially or fully vaccinated with diphtheria toxoid [10]. It usually occurs in humans with a history of close animal

Received 25 January 2019; Accepted 06 April 2019; Published 30 May 2019

Author affiliations: ¹National Health Services (NHS) Lothian, Edinburgh, UK; ²Scottish Agricultural College, Inverness, UK; ³Public Health England, London, UK; ⁴Health Protection Scotland, Glasgow, UK.

*Correspondence: Richard Othieno, Richard.Othieno@nhslothian.scot.nhs.uk

Keywords: *Corynebacterium*; wound infection; zoonoses; public health; vaccination.

Abbreviations: EUCAST, European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Disease; GP, General Practitioner; MALDI-TOF, matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry; MLST, multilocus sequence typing; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PHE, Public Health England; SAC, Scottish Agricultural College.

†These authors contributed equally to this work

000025 © 2019 The Authors

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Downloaded from www.microbiologyresearch.org by

IP: 143.234.194.70

On: Thu, 20 Jun 2019 15:28:14

contact, although previously it was thought that *C. ulcerans* was acquired from contact with cattle or consumption of raw dairy products, in more recent years, cases are increasingly associated with companion animals [4, 5, 11, 12]. A number of other species have been identified as carriers, including domestic pets and the pathogen has been identified in healthy dogs in urban areas of a number of countries [10, 13]. In the UK, there have been few cases of documented cutaneous toxigenic *C. ulcerans* infection associated with domestic animals [14] and [15]. Human–human transmission of toxigenic *C. ulcerans* is rare with only one report of a respiratory case documented [16]. Between 1986 and 2008 in the UK, *C. ulcerans* was identified in asymptomatic carriers of two separate cases of respiratory diphtheria [17]. There have been no documented cases of human–human transmission of *C. ulcerans* with a cutaneous presentation. Here we present a case of *C. ulcerans* skin infection due to domestic animal contact.

CASE REPORT

In 2017, a 60-year-old female presented to her General Practitioner (GP) in Scotland with a slow-healing 1 cm wound on her right finger. She had sustained a minor burn to the finger 9 days prior to her attendance. A swab was taken by the attending clinician. This swab subsequently grew toxigenic *C. ulcerans*. This result was telephoned to the out-of-hours local health protection team, 8 days after the case presented to her GP.

The case lived with her partner and owned two German Shepherd dogs. One dog had a history of a long-standing skin complaint, which had been documented as quiescent. The case worked in retail and had no recent travel history. She had not eaten unpasteurized dairy food nor visited any farms within the last 2 weeks. Her most recent diphtheria vaccination was in 2009.

A nose and throat swab were collected from the patient and she was treated with diphtheria vaccine and a 2 week course of clarithromycin. She was excluded from work under the Public Health Act Scotland (2009) until completion of antibiotics and clearance swabs, one from each site (nose, throat and wound) were negative. Four close contacts were identified who required nose and throat swabs to assess for carriage of *C. ulcerans*, of whom two were healthcare professionals who had dressed the wound. Contacts were all given diphtheria vaccine and a 1 week course of erythromycin. The two healthcare workers were excluded from work until nose and throat swabs were culture negative. One contact (husband) was identified as carrying *C. ulcerans* in his nose and required a repeat swab following completion of antibiotics to check for clearance. His repeat swab was culture negative. Initial swabs for the fourth contact (a close friend) were negative.

A local veterinary practitioner examined the dogs, which revealed one had an infected skin lesion, which was swabbed. Throat swabs were also collected from both dogs. Toxigenic *C. ulcerans* was recovered in culture from the skin lesion

and throat swabs of both dogs. They were commenced on antibiotic therapy and antimicrobial skin wash. Repeat swabs for the dogs were negative following completion of treatment. The dogs were identified as the most likely source of infection, however, it is possible human–human transmission may have occurred.

Microbiology investigations

Wound swab was submitted for routine culture and was inoculated on Columbia blood agar (Thermo-Fisher, Perth, UK). Large numbers of *C. ulcerans* were cultured and identified using MALDI-TOF (MALDI Biotyper, Bruker, Massachusetts, USA). MALDI-TOF generates unique mass spectrometry profiles, which are compared to a known database of micro-organism profiles, identifying the organism to genus and species levels [18]. The MALDI-TOF score was >2.0, which is an acceptable score for species identification. Susceptibility testing was performed by E-test (bioMérieux) using European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Disease (EUCAST) interpretative criteria. The isolate was sent to the Diphtheria National Reference Laboratory, Public Health England (PHE), Colindale, London, where the isolates were characterized by genotypic and phenotypic methods. In April 2014, a real-time PCR (qPCR) assay was formally introduced as the front-line test for putative toxigenic corynebacteria to inform public health action [19]. This assay provides confirmation of both identification of *C. diphtheriae* and *C. ulcerans*/*C. pseudotuberculosis* and detection of the diphtheria toxin gene. Phenotypic characterization was performed by culture on Columbia horse blood, Hoyle's tellurite and Tinsdale agar plates (PHE Media Services, Colindale); API Coryne (bioMérieux) and additional differential biochemical tests (e.g. nitrate reduction, glycogen hydrolysis as required [20]). The modified Elek immunodiffusion test [21] was used to confirm toxin expression. Further genotypic characterization of isolates was performed by MLST as previously described [22, 23]. The clinical isolate from the index case was identified as *C. ulcerans*/*C. diphtheriae*, diphtheria toxin gene positive by qPCR. The species was confirmed as *C. ulcerans* phenotypically and toxin expression was confirmed by the Elek test. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing results were also confirmed at the reference laboratory and it showed resistance to penicillin and clindamycin but sensitivity to vancomycin, erythromycin, linezolid, ciprofloxacin, doxycycline and rifampicin. *S. aureus* was isolated along with *C. ulcerans*. *S. aureus* could be colonizing the skin but was also likely contributing to any skin and soft tissue infection.

C. ulcerans was also isolated from a nose swab from the close contact of the index case and this was confirmed as toxigenic *C. ulcerans* as above. Throat swabs from the two dogs and the skin lesion were collected by their veterinary practitioner and submitted to SAC Consulting Veterinary Services laboratory in Inverness where they were cultured on Columbia sheep blood agar and Hoyle's tellurite medium (Thermo-Fisher, Perth, UK). *C. ulcerans* was obtained in moderate growth from the throat swabs collected from each dog and in heavy growth from the wound. The wound also contained a heavy

growth of *Staphylococcus schleiferi* subsp. *coagulans*, and an unidentified Gram-positive coccus. Suspect *C. ulcerans* were identified with the API Coryne system. Follow-up samples were collected from the throats of both dogs after treatment was completed and *C. ulcerans* was not detected. Furthermore, the skin lesion had resolved and neither *C. ulcerans*, *S. schleiferi* subsp. *coagulans* nor the unidentified Gram-positive coccus were detected. The three canine isolates from the two dogs were also confirmed as toxigenic *C. ulcerans*.

The six isolates (three human, three canine) were subjected to MLST analysis. Full profiles were not obtained, most likely due to variation in primer binding sites. However, of the partial allelic profiles obtained (range 2 to 5 out of 7 alleles); all alleles occurring in >1 isolate matched and there were no mismatches, supporting an epidemiological link. All partial allelic profiles obtained (0, 41, 79, 49, 0, 45, 39) were consistent with sequence type 349 (42, 41, 79, 49, 49, 45, 39), which is present in the MLST database (<https://pubmlst.org/cdiphtheriae/>) [24], from *C. ulcerans* isolated from a cutaneous clinical case in 2005, from Toulouse, France.

DISCUSSION

Due to the rarity of this case, a literature review was carried out to identify relevant evidence of *C. ulcerans* and domestic animals. MEDLINE was searched for combinations of the terms ‘*Corynebacterium ulcerans*’, ‘diphtheria’, ‘zoonoses’ and ‘human’, limited to English only, from 1966 to date. Eight individual case reports were identified. The study characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Three of the eight cases involved dogs, and of these, one had cutaneous presentation. Our case was distinct from any of those identified in the literature for several reasons. Within the household, the case presented with cutaneous diphtheria and an asymptomatic carrier was also identified. This may represent the first case of human–human transmission of *C. ulcerans* in a cutaneous case. However, we acknowledge that both human infections may have been acquired from the dogs. In addition, our case was normally healthy with a history of minor trauma causing

a wound. In other reported cases, the patients had a history of chronic illness that may have made them more susceptible to infection. The apparent increasing incidence of *C. ulcerans*, and the potential of the microbe to cause infection beyond the opportunistic spectrum suggests that this potentially deadly infection requires increased vigilance from public health.

Implications for public health

The number of cases of diphtheria caused by toxigenic *C. ulcerans* with an epidemiological link to domestic animals is small, but rising [8]. Several deaths have been associated with *C. ulcerans*, both within the UK, and outside [7]. This case highlighted some of the challenges of managing diphtheria that could have significant impact on future management if cases continue to rise. Due to the rarity of this condition and the widespread diphtheria vaccination programme, recognition and diagnosis of cutaneous diphtheria may be delayed. This can lead to delay in treatment of the case, but also contact tracing and identification of a potential source.

The evidence base for the risk factors for acquisition of *C. ulcerans* is limited. The association with domestic companion animals who carry the organism is becoming increasingly apparent. However, although cases of *C. ulcerans* may have a history of contact with domestic pets, microbiological evidence of a link is often unavailable as the animals have only been investigated in more recent years [17]. Our case highlights the importance of identifying the source through epidemiological and microbiological investigation. Currently, national guidance for the management of diphtheria is available in the UK, provided by Public Health England [8]. However, this guidance does not include management of animal contacts. *C. ulcerans* is not a notifiable organism if detected in animals, including those implicated in human infection and guidance relating to the management of animals in a case such as this is not readily available. This is a potential area for development as the evidence base for this infection continues to expand.

Table 1. Summary of clinical features and transmission data of *C. ulcerans* infections from case reports from 1966–present (English language only)

Reference	Transmission	Clinical presentation	Other features
[25]	Dog–human	Severe dyspnoea	Case was immunosuppressed
[26]	Dog–human	Sore throat	Case died
[27]	Cat–human	Sore throat	Case had rheumatoid arthritis
[28]	Cat–human	Skin	Case previously well
[29]	Cat–human	Skin	Case died
[30]	Cat–human	Skin	Case was immunosuppressed
[16]	Human–human	Sore throat	Domestic cat in household
[31]	Dog – human	Skin	Case had history of chronic venous insufficiency
[14]	Cats, dogs, fox–human	Skin	Case previously well

Due to the multiagency management required in this case, we recommend that both human and animal public health is considered in the investigation and management of cases of *C. ulcerans* in the future. This is consistent with the One Health approach [32]. The complexities of case management that need to be addressed, include the following: assessing the risk in the individual, the public and the animal population; management of exclusion of cases, contacts and pets; and clinical and financial responsibilities of agencies in the assessment, investigation and treatment of cases, contacts and domestic companions. Through a co-ordinated approach, further research should be conducted into the prevention and management of this increasingly prevalent and potentially deadly infection, acknowledging the threat to animals and humans.

Funding information

The authors received no specific grant from any funding agency.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Jill MacDonald, veterinarian, Kevin Pollock, Robert Seremani, Dominic Mellor and Gill Hawkins at Health Protection Scotland; and Gayatra Amirthalingam at Public Health England. We would also like to thank all the microbiology laboratory staff at Royal Infirmary Edinburgh and Diphtheria National Reference Laboratory, Public Health England.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Ethical statement

Permission for publication of this case was obtained from NHS Lothian Ethics Committee. No further ethical approval was required as routine care was carried out. A written consent form was filled by the case following an explanation about the nature of the publication that was going to be undertaken and being allowed to ask questions. The case gave consent and expressed no concerns. Sampling from animals was carried out by private veterinary surgeons responsible for their health care as part of clinical investigation to support possible treatment intervention. Thus, the procedure is considered an act of veterinary surgery, covered by the Veterinary Surgeons Act (1966). In these circumstances ethical approval was neither considered necessary nor sought.

References

1. Health Protection Agency. A case of toxigenic cutaneous *Corynebacterium ulcerans*. *Health Protection Report* 2011;5.
2. Guaraldi A, Hirata R, Azevedo V. *Corynebacterium diphtheriae*, *Corynebacterium ulcerans* and *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis*—general aspects. In: Burkovski A (editor). *Corynebacterium diphtheriae and Related Toxigenic Species: Genomics, Pathogenicity and Applications*. Dordrecht: Springer; 2014. pp. 15–37.
3. Foster G, Patterson T, Howie F, Simpson V, Davison N et al. *Corynebacterium ulcerans* in free-ranging otters. *Vet Rec* 2002; 150:524.
4. Winn W, Allen S, Janda WM, Koneman E, Procop G et al. *Koneman's Color Atlas and Textbook of Diagnostic Microbiology*, 6th ed. Baltimore: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2006. pp. 801–805.
5. MacGregor RR. '*Corynebacterium diphtheriae* (Diphtheria)'. In: Bennett JE, Dolin R, Blaser MJ (editors). *Mandell, Douglas and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases*, 2, 8th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders; 2015. pp. 2373–2380.
6. Public Health England. 2014. Diphtheria: notifications and deaths England and Wales from 1986 onward. https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/417983/Diphtheria_notifications_and_deaths_1986-2014.pdf
7. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Annual epidemiological report 2014–vaccine-preventable diseases. Stockholm: ECDC; 2014.
8. Public Health England. 2015. Public health control and management of diphtheria (in England and Wales) guidelines. https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/416108/Diphtheria_Guidelines_Final.pdf
9. McNicholas J, Gilbey A, Rennie A, Ahmedzai S, Dono JA et al. Pet ownership and human health: a brief review of evidence and issues. *BMJ* 2005;331:1252–1254.
10. Dias AA, Silva FC, Pereira GA, Souza MC, Camello TC et al. *Corynebacterium ulcerans* isolated from an asymptomatic dog kept in an animal shelter in the metropolitan area of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 2010;10:743–748.
11. Kisely SR, Price S, Ward T. '*Corynebacterium ulcerans*': a potential cause of diphtheria. *Commun Dis Rep CDR Rev* 1994;4:R63–64.
12. Hart RJ. *Corynebacterium ulcerans* in humans and cattle in North Devon. *J Hyg* 1984;92:161–164.
13. Katsukawa C, Kawahara R, Inoue K, Ishii A, Yamagishi H et al. Toxigenic *Corynebacterium ulcerans* isolated from the domestic dog for the first time in Japan. *Jpn J Infect Dis* 2009;62:171–172.
14. Moore LSP, Leslie A, Meltzer M, Sandison A, Efstratiou A et al. *Corynebacterium ulcerans* cutaneous diphtheria. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2015;15:1100–1107.
15. Wagner J, Ignatius R, Voss S, Höpfner V, Ehlers S et al. Infection of the skin caused by *Corynebacterium ulcerans* and mimicking classical cutaneous diphtheria. *Clin Infect Dis* 2001;33:1598–1600.
16. Konrad R, Hörmansdorfer S, Sing A. Possible human-to-human transmission of toxigenic *Corynebacterium ulcerans*. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2015;21:768–771.
17. Wagner KS, White JM, Crowcroft NS, De Martin S, Mann G et al. Diphtheria in the United Kingdom, 1986–2008: the increasing role of *Corynebacterium ulcerans*. *Epidemiol Infect* 2010;138:1519–1530.
18. Croxatto A, Prod'hom G, Greub G. Applications of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry in clinical diagnostic microbiology. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2012;36:380–407.
19. De Zoysa A, Efstratiou A, Mann G, Harrison TG, Fry NK. Development, validation and implementation of a quadruplex real-time PCR assay for identification of potentially toxigenic corynebacteria. *J Med Microbiol* 2016;65:1521–1527.
20. Efstratiou A, Maple PAC. *Manual for the Laboratory Diagnosis of Diphtheria*, ICP/EPI 038(C). European Region Copenhagen: expanded Programme on Immunization in the European Region of World Health Organization; 1994.
21. Engler KH, Glushkevich T, Mazurova IK, George RC, Efstratiou A. A modified Elek test for detection of toxigenic corynebacteria in the diagnostic laboratory. *J Clin Microbiol* 1997;35:495–498.
22. König C, Meinel DM, Margos G, Konrad R, Sing A. Multilocus sequence typing of *Corynebacterium ulcerans* provides evidence for zoonotic transmission and for increased prevalence of certain sequence types among toxigenic strains. *J Clin Microbiol* 2014;52:4318–4324.
23. Both L, Collins S, de Zoysa A, White J, Mandal S et al. Molecular and epidemiological review of toxigenic diphtheria infections in England between 2007 and 2013. *J Clin Microbiol* 2015;53:567–572.
24. Jolley KA, Maiden MCJ. BIGSdb: scalable analysis of bacterial genome variation at the population level. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2010;11:595.
25. Lartigue MF, Monnet X, Le Flèche A, Grimont PA, Benet JJ et al. *Corynebacterium ulcerans* in an immunocompromised patient with diphtheria and her dog. *J Clin Microbiol* 2005;43:999–1001.
26. Hogg RA, Wessels J, Hart J, Efstratiou A, De Zoysa A et al. Possible zoonotic transmission of toxigenic *Corynebacterium ulcerans* from companion animals in a human case of fatal diphtheria. *Vet Rec* 2009;165:691–692.

27. Berger A, Huber I, Merbecks SS, Ehrhard I, Konrad R *et al.* Toxigenic *Corynebacterium ulcerans* in woman and cat. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2011;17:1767–1769.
28. Corti MAM, Bloemberg GV, Borelli S, Kutzner H, Eich G *et al.* Rare human skin infection with *Corynebacterium ulcerans*: transmission by a domestic cat. *Infection* 2012;40:575–578.
29. Vandentorren S, Guiso N, Badell E, Boisrenoult P, Micaelo M *et al.* Toxigenic *Corynebacterium ulcerans* in a fatal human case and her feline contacts, France, March 2014. *Euro Surveill* 2014;19:20910.
30. Yoshimura Y, Tachikawa N, Komiya T, Yamamoto A. A case report and epidemiological investigation of axillary lymph node abscess caused by *Corynebacterium ulcerans* in an HIV-1-positive patient. *Epidemiol Infect* 2014;142:1541–1544.
31. Meinel DM, Konrad R, Berger A, König C, Schmidt-Wieland T *et al.* Zoonotic transmission of toxigenic *Corynebacterium ulcerans* strain, Germany, 2012. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2015;21:356–358.
32. Gibbs EPJ. The evolution of one health: a decade of progress and challenges for the future. *Vet Rec* 2014;174:85–91.

Five reasons to publish your next article with a Microbiology Society journal

1. The Microbiology Society is a not-for-profit organization.
2. We offer fast and rigorous peer review – average time to first decision is 4–6 weeks.
3. Our journals have a global readership with subscriptions held in research institutions around the world.
4. 80% of our authors rate our submission process as 'excellent' or 'very good'.
5. Your article will be published on an interactive journal platform with advanced metrics.

Find out more and submit your article at microbiologyresearch.org.