

Scotland's Rural College

Genetic resources and genomics for adaptation of livestock to climate change

Boettcher, P.J.; Hoffmann, I.; Baumung, R.; Drucker, A.G.; McManus, C.; Berg, P.; Stella, A.; Nilsen, L.; Moran, D.; Naves, M.; Thompson, M.

Published in:
Frontiers in Genetics

DOI:
[10.3389/fgene.2014.00461](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2014.00461)

First published: 19/01/2015

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Boettcher, P. J., Hoffmann, I., Baumung, R., Drucker, A. G., McManus, C., Berg, P., Stella, A., Nilsen, L., Moran, D., Naves, M., & Thompson, M. (2015). Genetic resources and genomics for adaptation of livestock to climate change. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 5, Article 461. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2014.00461>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Genetic resources and genomics for adaptation of livestock to climate change

Paul J. Boettcher^{1*}, Irene Hoffmann¹, Roswitha Baumung¹, Adam G. Drucker², Concepta McManus³, Peer Berg⁴, Alessandra Stella^{5,6}, Linn B. Nilsen^{7,8}, Dominic Moran⁹, Michel Naves¹⁰ and Mary C. Thompson^{2,11}

¹ Animal Production and Health Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy

² In Situ and On Farm Conservation, Bioversity International, Maccaresse, Italy

³ INCT Pecuaria, Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, Brazil

⁴ NordGen Farm Animals, Nordic Genetic Resource Center, Ås, Norway

⁵ Parco Tecnologico Padano, Lodi, Italy

⁶ Institute of Agricultural Biology and Biotechnology, National Research Council, Milan, Italy

⁷ Plant Production and Protection Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy

⁸ NADEL – Center for Development and Cooperation, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

⁹ Sustainable Rural Systems, Scotland's Rural College, Edinburgh, UK

¹⁰ UR143, Unité de Recherches Zootechniques, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Petit-Bourg, Guadeloupe, France

¹¹ Basque Center for Climate Change, Bilbao, Spain

*Correspondence: paul.boettcher@fao.org

Edited by:

Juha Kantanen, MTT Agrifood Research Finland, Finland

Reviewed by:

Dirk-Jan De Koning, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Sweden

Jarmo Juga, University of Helsinki, Finland

Keywords: animal genetic resources, adaptation, biological, genetic diversity, livestock genomics, climate change

A commentary on

Global plan of action for animal genetic resources and the interlaken declaration by FAO. (2007). Rome. ISBN: 978-92-5-105848-0

INTRODUCTION

Animal genetic resources (AnGR) are critical for global food security and livelihoods. Livestock products have high densities of energy, protein, and other critical nutrients, which are particularly beneficial for infants and expectant mothers. Around a billion people rely directly on livestock for their livelihoods, many of which are among the rural poor (FAO, 2009). Demand for animal products is foreseen to increase significantly in the future while competition for resources will intensify, dictating that livestock systems must increase both productivity and efficiency. Maintaining sufficient diversity of AnGR is necessary to ensure adaptation potential in times of uncertainty. In the future, climate change is expected to be a major force testing resilience of global food production systems (Thornton et al., 2009; Renaudeau et al., 2012). Ensuring that

livestock systems remain productive and efficient while maintaining their flexibility will be a major challenge.

Adaptation to climate change is unlikely to be achieved with a single strategy (Hoffmann, 2010). Clearly, modifications will be needed in animals' housing, reproduction, nutrition, and health care. Genetic changes in the animals (both within and across species) will also play a role. Preparation for these transformations will require a significant research commitment and genomics will play a role in the genetic measures taken for adaptation of livestock to climate change.

INFORMATION NEEDS

The first step in this process will be gathering relevant information. There is currently a shortage of specific knowledge explaining why certain AnGR are adapted to a given environment and in which other environments they can survive and flourish. This deficit calls for greater effort in characterization of AnGR, including their production environments, by using the most modern tools available. To date, many livestock breeds have been genetically characterized (e.g., Groeneveld

et al., 2010), but the value of these data for study of adaptation is questionable. For climate change adaptation, the breeds of greatest interest may be those reared today in harsh environments. Past studies have mostly addressed breeds from developed countries, where climate-control is widely practiced. The study of adaptation implies the use of a "landscape approach," with detailed information describing the production system (e.g., FAO, 2012), including socio-economic information (e.g., Drucker, 2010) and indigenous knowledge about management of the breed in its environment as well as geographic coordinates to incorporate climatic data and soil, vegetation, and water resources. Collection of such detailed complementary data is a relatively recent trend. Past studies have emphasized pure breeds, whereas crossbreeding can be a valuable strategy for achieving increased productivity and adaptability, so those populations also require characterization. Finally, many studies have assayed only small numbers of selectively-neutral markers. The rapid development of genomic tools now allows analysis of functional genomic regions with potential associations with adaptation (e.g., Qian et al., 2013).

Table 1 | Numbers of local^a breeds recorded per species and region for the six main livestock species^b for food and agriculture, according to the Domestic Animal Diversity Information System (DAD-IS) of FAO (<http://dad.fao.org> – November 2014).

Species	Africa	Asia	Europe and the Caucasus	Latin America and the Caribbean	Near and Middle East	North America	Southwest Pacific	World
Cattle	176	241	369	141	43	17	32	1019
Chicken	129	305	912	88	35	15	30	1514
Sheep	117	262	613	51	53	21	38	1155
Horse	40	138	371	84	14	22	25	694
Goat	96	183	218	28	34	6	11	576
Pig	53	214	188	60	1	12	15	543
Duck	15	92	107	22	4	1	12	253
Others	171	328	568	123	67	37	27	1321
Total	797	1763	3346	597	251	131	190	7075

^aLocal breed, a breed reported in only one country, according to DAD-IS.

^bMain species determined based on the number of breeds.

SELECTION OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES

A second research need is the elaboration of the genetic objective for adaptation. Increasing productivity and efficiency will be fundamental, but maintenance of genetic diversity will also be of importance. Having diverse AnGR will allow for more opportunities to match breeds to a changing climate or to replace populations hit by severe climatic events such as droughts and floods. Within breed, broad genetic diversity will clearly allow for greater opportunities for selection for adaptation, but there is evidence from wild populations that increased genetic diversity is also selectively advantageous on the individual level (Fourcada and Hoffman, 2014). Directional selection for adaptive traits will likely accompany maintenance of diversity, but questions remain about indicators of adaptation and resilience and hence the breeding goal. An obvious option is to breed for traits associated with superior productivity and resilience in conditions expected to be prevalent as a result of climate change, such as heat and drought tolerance and resistance to certain diseases. However, care must be taken when defining such traits. In dairy cattle, the ability to maintain high milk production with increasing ambient temperatures seems like a logical definition of heat tolerance, but research at the physiological level has shown that such cattle direct their energy toward milk production, making them vulnerable to extremely high temperatures (Dikmen et al., 2012).

An alternative to breeding for specific traits is to target general robustness; the ability of animals to adjust to a range of environmental conditions. The Brown Swiss dairy cow, developed under comparatively cool, but rugged conditions in the Alps, seems to show greater heat tolerance than the Holstein (Correa-Calderon et al., 2005), which originated in more temperate lowland regions. The Domestic Animal Diversity Information system of FAO (<http://dad.fao.org>) lists numerous breeds, particularly from mountainous and arid areas, that are adapted to extreme ranges in temperature and such breeds may merit further research (Hoffmann, 2013). **Table 1** has the numbers of local breeds by region and species for the major livestock species, as recorded in DAD-IS. Within-breed selection for robustness would likely require the development of an index involving multiple traits.

A third category of research involves the genetic strategy for adaptation. Options comprise purebreeding, crossbreeding (including introgression), and breed or species substitution. Among the key influential factors is the expected rate of climate change and the speed with which genetic change can realistically occur with the various strategies. Substitution and crossbreeding expedite genetic change, but their implementation may be more complex than purebreeding and thus involve additional research needs (e.g., on genotype-by-environment interaction).

TOOLS FOR THE GENETICS OF ADAPTATION

A final research topic will be the development of tools required for the aforementioned topics. Genomics will surely play a role in all three of these areas, as well as in implementation of the results obtained. Increased characterization with high-throughput single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) assays or genome sequencing will be necessary for unraveling the physiological basis for adaptation. Species-wide HapMap studies (e.g., Gibbs et al., 2009; Jiang et al., 2014) and multi-species studies (e.g., Stella, 2014) have represented a valuable first step in understanding the genome and its function in adaptation, but must be expanded to more breeds and geographical areas and augmented with more information on production environments. Metagenomics can provide insight regarding the co-adaptation of AnGR with other organisms in their production environments. Genomic selection has the potential to expedite both pure- and crossbreeding programmes for adaptation, assuming phenotypes are available (Hayes et al., 2012); programs for performance recording in developing countries are thus needed. Given the importance of the landscape approach, tools and methods for improved integration of geographical information will be critical. The information gathered in all of these processes will be of little value if they are not properly organized, stored, and disseminated to stakeholders through new

and improved databases and information systems. Finally, cooperative efforts between all stakeholders will be needed to achieve the final goal, the optimal utilization of the genetic and genomic resources for the adaptation of livestock to climate change.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report comprises conclusions from the Expert Workshop on Crop and Livestock Diversity for Climate Change Adaptation held in Rome from 8 to 12 October 2013. The workshop was a collaborative effort among FAO, Bioversity International, the Basque Center for Climate Change and DIVERSITAS and was financially supported by the Government of Sweden through FAO project GCP/GLO/287/MUL and by the CGIAR program on Climate Change, Agriculture, and Food Security (CCAFS).

REFERENCES

- Correa-Calderon, A., Armstrong, D. V., Ray, D. E., DeNise, S. K., Enns, R. M., and Howison, C. M. (2005). Productive and reproductive response of Holstein and Brown Swiss heat stressed dairy cows to two different cooling systems. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.* 4, 572–578.
- Dikmen, S., Cole, J. B., Null, D. J., and Hansen, P. J. (2012). Heritability of rectal temperature and genetic correlations with production and reproduction traits in dairy cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* 95, 3401–3405. doi: 10.3168/jds.2011-4306
- Drucker, A. G. (2010). Where's the beef? The economics of AnGR conservation and its influence on policy design and implementation. *Anim. Genetic Resour.* 47, 85–90. doi: 10.1017/S2078633610000913
- FAO. (2009). *The State of Food and Agriculture – Livestock in the Balance*. Rome. Available online at: <http://www.fao.org/docrep/012/i0680e/i0680e.pdf>
- FAO. (2012). *Phenotypic Characterization of Animal Genetic Resources*. FAO Animal Production and Health Guidelines No. 11. Rome.
- Fourcada, J., and Hoffman, J. I. (2014). Climate change selects for heterozygosity in a declining fur seal population. *Nature* 511, 462–465. doi: 10.1038/nature13542
- Gibbs, R. A., Taylor, J. F., Van Tassel, C. P., Barendse, W., Eversole, K. A., Gill, C. A., et al. (2009). Genome-wide survey of SNP variation uncovers the genetic structure of cattle breeds. *Science* 324, 528–532. doi: 10.1126/science.1167936
- Groeneveld, L. F., Lenstra, J. A., Eding, H., Toro, M. A., Scherf, B., Pilling, D., et al. (2010). Genetic diversity in farm animals—a review. *Anim. Genet.* 41, 6–31. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2052.2010.02038.x
- Hayes, B. J., Lewin, H. A., and Goddard, M. E. (2012). The future of livestock breeding: genomic selection for efficiency, reduced emissions intensity, and adaptation. *Trends Genet.* 29, 206–214. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2012.11.009
- Hoffmann, I. (2010). Climate change and the characterization, breeding and conservation of animal genetic resources. *Anim. Genet.* 41, 32–46. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2052.2010.02043.x
- Hoffmann, I. (2013). Adaptation to climate change – exploring the potential of locally adapted breeds. *Animal* 7, 346–362. doi: 10.1017/S1751731113000815
- Jiang, Y., Xie, M., Chen, W., Talbot, R., Maddox, J. F., Faraut, T., et al. (2014). The sheep genome illuminates biology of the rumen and lipid metabolism. *Science* 344, 1168–1173. doi: 10.1126/science.1252806
- Qian, W., Deng, L., Lu, D., and Xu, S. (2013). Genome-wide landscapes of human local adaptation in Asia. *PLoS ONE* 8:e54224. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0054224
- Renaudeau, D., Collin, A., Yahav, S., de Basilio, V., Gourdine, J. L., and Collier, R. J. (2012). Adaptation to hot climate and strategies to alleviate heat stress in livestock production. *Animal* 6, 707–728. doi: 10.1017/S1751731111002448
- Stella, A. (2014). “Insights into the interaction of goat breeds and their environment,” in *Proceedings of the 10th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production* (Vancouver, BC).
- Thornton, P. K., van de Steeg, J., Notenbaert, A., and Herrero, M. (2009). The impacts of climate change on livestock and livestock systems in developing countries: a review of what we know and what we need to know. *Agricult. Syst.* 101, 113–127. doi: 10.1016/j.agsy.2009.05.002

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 12 November 2014; paper pending published: 26 November 2014; accepted: 15 December 2014; published online: 19 January 2015.

Citation: Boettcher PJ, Hoffmann I, Baumung R, Drucker AG, McManus C, Berg P, Stella A, Nilsen LB, Moran D, Naves M and Thompson MC (2015) Genetic resources and genomics for adaptation of livestock to climate change. *Front. Genet.* 5:461. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2014.00461

This article was submitted to *Livestock Genomics*, a section of the journal *Frontiers in Genetics*.

Copyright © 2015 Boettcher, Hoffmann, Baumung, Drucker, McManus, Berg, Stella, Nilsen, Moran, Naves and Thompson. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.