

Scotland's Rural College

Review: Markers and proxies to monitor ruminal function and feed efficiency in young ruminants

Cheng, Long; Cantalapiedra-Hijar, Gonzalo; Meale, Sarah; Rugoho, Innocent; Jonker, Arjan; Khan, M.A.; Al-Marashdeh, Omar; Dewhurst, RJ

Published in:
Animal

DOI:
[10.1016/j.animal.2021.100337](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.animal.2021.100337)

Print publication: 01/10/2021

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Cheng, L., Cantalapiedra-Hijar, G., Meale, S., Rugoho, I., Jonker, A., Khan, M. A., Al-Marashdeh, O., & Dewhurst, R.J. (2021). Review: Markers and proxies to monitor ruminal function and feed efficiency in young ruminants. *Animal*, 15(10), [100337]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.animal.2021.100337>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Animal: The International Journal of Animal Biosciences

Review: Markers and proxies to monitor ruminal function and feed efficiency in young ruminants --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	ANIMAL-20-20471R3
Full Title:	Review: Markers and proxies to monitor ruminal function and feed efficiency in young ruminants
Article Type:	Review Article
Section/Category:	2b. Nutrition: Ruminants
Keywords:	purine derivatives; faecal lipids; breath sulphide and methane; body measures; nitrogen isotopes
Corresponding Author:	Long Cheng, Ph.D. University of Melbourne Victoria 3647, AUSTRALIA
First Author:	Long Cheng, Ph.D.
Order of Authors:	Long Cheng, Ph.D. Gonzalo Cantalapiedra-Hijar Sarah J Meale Innocent Rugoho Arjan Jonker Muhammad Ajmal Khan Omar Al-Marashdeh Richard Dewhurst
Manuscript Region of Origin:	AUSTRALIA
Abstract:	<p>Developing the rumen's capacity to utilize recalcitrant and low-value feed resources is important for ruminant production systems. Early life nutrition and management practices have been shown to influence development of the rumen in young animals with long-term consequences on their performance. Therefore, there has been increasing interest to understand ruminal development and function in young ruminants to improve feed efficiency, health, welfare, and performance of both young and adult ruminants. However, due to the small size, rapid morphological changes and low initial microbial populations of the rumen, it is difficult to study ruminal function in young ruminants without major invasive approaches or slaughter studies. In this review we discuss the usefulness of a range of proxies and markers to monitor ruminal function and nitrogen use efficiency (a major part of feed efficiency) in young ruminants. Breath sulphide and methane emissions showed the greatest potential as simple markers of a developing microbiota in young ruminants. However, there is only limited evidence for robust indicators of feed efficiency at this stage. The use of nitrogen isotopic discrimination based on plasma samples appeared to be the most promising proxy for feed efficiency in young ruminants. More research is needed to explore and refine potential proxies and markers to indicate ruminal function and feed efficiency in young ruminants, particularly for neonatal ruminants.</p>

1 **Review: Markers and proxies to monitor ruminal function and feed efficiency in**
2 **young ruminants**

3 L. Cheng^{a*}, G. Cantalapiedra-Hijar^b, S. J. Meale^c, I. Rugoho^d, A. Jonker^e, M. A. Khan^e, O.
4 Al-Marashdeh^f, R. J. Dewhurst^g

5

6 ^a*Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Dookie*
7 *Campus, 3647, Victoria, Australia;*

8 ^b*Université Clermont Auvergne, INRAE, VetAgro Sup, UMR Herbivores, F-63122 Saint-*
9 *Genès-Champanelle, France;*

10 ^c*School of Agriculture and Food Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Queensland,*
11 *Gatton, 4343 Queensland Australia;*

12 ^d*Lely Australia Pty Ltd, 84 Agar Drive, Truganina, 3029, Victoria, Australia;*

13 ^e*AgResearch Limited, Grasslands Research Centre, Private Bag 11008, Palmerston*
14 *North 4410, New Zealand;*

15 ^f*Faculty of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Lincoln University, P.O. Box 85084, Lincoln,*
16 *New Zealand;*

17 ^g*Scotland's Rural College, King's Buildings, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JG,*
18 *United Kingdom.*

19

20

21 Corresponding author: Long Cheng.

22 *Email: long.cheng@unimelb.edu.au

23

24 **Abstract**

25 Developing the rumen's capacity to utilize recalcitrant and low-value feed resources is
26 important for ruminant production systems. Early life nutrition and management
27 practices have been shown to influence development of the rumen in young animals
28 with long-term consequences on their performance. Therefore, there has been
29 increasing interest to understand ruminal development and function in young ruminants
30 to improve feed efficiency, health, welfare, and performance of both young and adult
31 ruminants. However, due to the small size, rapid morphological changes and low initial
32 microbial populations of the rumen, it is difficult to study ruminal function in young
33 ruminants without major invasive approaches or slaughter studies. **In this review we**
34 **discuss the usefulness of a range of proxies** and markers to monitor ruminal function
35 and nitrogen use efficiency (a major part of feed efficiency) in young ruminants. Breath
36 sulphide and methane emissions showed the greatest potential as simple markers of a
37 developing microbiota in young ruminants. However, there is only limited evidence for
38 robust indicators of feed efficiency at this stage. The use of nitrogen isotopic
39 discrimination based on plasma samples appeared to be the most promising proxy for
40 feed efficiency in young ruminants. More research is needed to explore and refine
41 potential proxies and markers to indicate ruminal function and feed efficiency in young
42 ruminants, particularly for neonatal ruminants.

43

44 **Keywords:** purine derivatives; faecal lipids; breath sulphide and methane; body
45 measures; nitrogen isotopes

46 **Implications**

47 Simple measurements of sulphides and methane in breath could be used to provide a
48 practical and non-invasive tool to monitor the developing microbiota of young ruminants.
49 Plasma nitrogen isotopic discrimination is a promising proxy for feed efficiency in young
50 ruminants and could be applied through a simple blood testing programme. However,
51 the review indicated a lack of published international literature on the development of
52 markers and proxies for ruminal function and feed efficiency in young ruminants, which
53 would complement the much larger body of research on husbandry of young ruminants.

54 **Importance of the rumen in digesting forages**

55 The rumen and its microorganisms (bacteria, protozoa, fungi and archaea) facilitate the
56 utilisation of substrates that are not available to mammalian enzymes (Van Nevel and
57 Demeyer, 1996; Liem et al., 2001), producing absorbable substrates for the host
58 ruminant (Bergman, 1990). Physical breakdown during ingestion and rumination, as well
59 as in the rumen make feeds more accessible for microbial colonisation (Cheng et al.,
60 1980; McAllister et al., 1994). The simple sugars formed are used by the microbes to
61 produce volatile fatty acids (VFAs), mainly acetate (used for fatty acid synthesis),
62 propionate (used for glucose synthesis), and butyrate which are largely used as energy
63 sources in the ruminant body. Proportions of these VFAs in the rumen are influenced by
64 microbial community composition (Henderson et al., 2015; Seshadri et al., 2018) and
65 ruminal conditions. The ruminal conditions are influenced by intake rate, dietary forage
66 to concentrate ratio, and nature (e.g., degradation rate, molecular structure) of the diet.
67 In general, forage dominated diets stimulate acetate formation whereas, concentrate
68 dominated diets promote propionate formation. Feeds with higher levels of starch and

69 protein levels promote propionate production, yet simple sugars and hemicellulose
70 promote butyrate production, and cellulose promotes acetate production (Bannink et al.,
71 2006).

72 Feed must be retained in the reticulo-rumen for long enough to allow the
73 microorganisms to effectively ferment and break down plant fiber (Liem et al., 2001).
74 Ruminants have a filter system between the reticulum and omasum to extend the
75 ruminal retention time for slow fermenting neutral detergent fiber, which is a major
76 component of forage (Van Soest, 1996). The rate and amount of microbial protein
77 synthesis is determined by the availability of energy and protein in the rumen (Tedeschi
78 et al., 2000). Carbohydrates are the main energy source for bacteria, although they can
79 also be used as carbon skeletons for protein synthesis in combination with ammonia,
80 amino acids or small peptides (Bach et al., 2005; Lanzas et al., 2008). Degradation of
81 proteins yields peptides and amino acids, which are utilised by the microbes
82 (transamination) or deaminated to yield VFAs, carbon dioxide and ammonia
83 (Tamminga, 1979; Bach et al., 2005). The ammonia that exceeds the capacity of
84 microbial growth is absorbed through the ruminal wall, converted into urea and
85 circulated back into the rumen via saliva or excreted in the urine.

86 **Morphological development of the rumen in young ruminants**

87 The development of the rumen and microbial colonization is a two-way interaction
88 between the host and microbial community. Morphological development of the rumen is
89 promoted by the consumption of solid feed. The associated production and absorption
90 of VFAs as fermentation end products stimulates the development of ruminal papillae,
91 enabling their absorption and facilitating further epithelial metabolism (Sander et al.,

92 1959; Suárez et al., 2006). Butyrate is the greatest stimulator of epithelial length and
93 function, followed by propionate. Conversely, it is the physical structure of substrates
94 like roughages, which expand ruminal volume, contribute to muscular development
95 (Tamate et al., 1962; Stobo et al., 1966), and stimulate rumination and flow of saliva to
96 the rumen (Hodgson, 1971).

97 The main enzymatic activities (fibrolysis, amylolysis, proteolysis, and ureolysis) of
98 ruminal microbiota have been observed in the rumen from four (Sahoo et al., 2005) or
99 ten (Kmet et al., 1986) days of age. Over 60 glycoside hydrolase microbial genes have
100 been observed in the rumen during the early stages of life, suggesting great potential for
101 plant carbohydrate metabolism even in the absence of regular plant cell wall intake (Li
102 et al., 2012). As a calf grows, the ketogenic capacity of the rumen must develop to that
103 of a mature rumen, as 60 to 80% of all VFAs are absorbed across the ruminal wall, with
104 75 to 90% of absorbed butyrate being metabolized by the ruminal epithelium (Allen,
105 1997).

106 **Microbial development in the rumen of young ruminants**

107 Microbial inoculation of the rumen was considered to begin immediately after birth,
108 through contact with the vaginal canal, fecal material, colostrum, skin and saliva of the
109 dam. Yet recently, methanogens, fibrolytic bacteria, and Proteobacteria were detected in
110 the rumen of calves less than 20 minutes after birth (Guzman et al., 2015). Quantification
111 of bacterial and archaeal RNA (Malmuthuge et al., 2015), suggests that inoculation may
112 in fact occur prior to birth, with rapid shifts occurring in the first days of life as primo-
113 colonizing aerobic or facultative anaerobic bacteria shape the biotype for the strictly
114 anaerobic microbes which sequentially establish thereafter (Jami et al., 2013). Similarities

115 between establishment of the rumen and epimural microbial communities have been
116 identified, as Proteobacteria were also found to be present at >90% of sequences from
117 goat kids at birth (Rieu et al., 1990; Jiao et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017). This is potentially
118 due to their role in scavenging oxygen diffusing from the capillary network (Cheng et al.,
119 1979), facilitating the establishment of anaerobic communities.

120 Recent studies (Li et al., 2012; Jami et al., 2013; Meale et al., 2016) suggest the pre-
121 weaned rumen contains the same dominant phyla, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and
122 Proteobacteria, as the more mature post-weaned rumen, although relative abundance
123 varies with age. Firmicutes increase after weaning (Jami et al., 2013; Meale et al., 2016;
124 Meale et al., 2017a and 2017b), whilst Bacteroidetes, and specifically Prevotella,
125 appear more dependent on solid food intake, than the removal of milk from the diet,
126 reaching a stable abundance after 7 weeks of age (Meale et al., 2017a and 2017b), and
127 once solid food consumption rises above 100 g per d, respectively (Rey et al., 2014;
128 Meale et al., 2016; Meale et al., 2017a and 2017b). This indicates that the earlier a calf
129 begins to consume solid feed, the sooner a ruminal bacterial community that is more
130 representative of a mature ruminal develops. Furman et al. (2020) showed the effects of
131 delivery method (spontaneous vs. caesarean) and diet, as well as random effects in
132 early life on the development of the ruminal microbiome. Others (Roehe et al., 2016;
133 Wallace et al., 2019) have identified host genetic effects on the ruminal microbiome. All
134 of these genetic and early life effects reinforce the need to quantify ruminal function in
135 young ruminants – before, during and after weaning.

136 **Markers and proxies to monitor ruminal function in young ruminants**

137 At birth, the rumen is sterile, and physically and metabolically underdeveloped. Initiation
138 of solid feed consumption, acquisition of anaerobic microbes, the establishment of
139 ruminal fermentation, growth of papillae, maturation of salivary function, and physical
140 expansion of the rumen are achieved during the first ~four months of life (Khan et al.,
141 2011; Khan et al., 2016) in response to nutritional inputs and management practices
142 (Yáñez-Ruiz et al., 2015; Steele et al., 2016; Meale et al., 2017a). For example, the
143 provision of forage vs. concentrate to young ruminants provide a strong physical
144 stimulus for the expansion of the rumen to increase its volume, physical development,
145 and motility (Castells Domingo, 2013) and promote the development of rumination
146 behavior, saliva flow, and buffering capacity (Laarman and Oba, 2011; Khan et al.,
147 2016). In summary, there is emerging evidence that early life nutritional interventions
148 influence ruminal development in young ruminants (Khan et al., 2016), with lifelong
149 consequences on their welfare and performance (Khan et al., 2011; Soberon and Van
150 Amburgh, 2013). There is renewed interest in finding mechanisms by which the host
151 and diet can influence these developments, not least because there may be the longer-
152 term setting of the interaction between the host, rumen and microbiome (Yáñez-Ruiz et
153 al., 2015). However, there are serious constraints to the ability to use existing sampling
154 techniques and limitations associated with the low ruminal volume and smaller microbial
155 population. A number of approaches have been developed to study ruminal function
156 less invasively and these have often been applied in studies with adult ruminants (see
157 review by (Dewhurst et al., 2000)). The purpose of the next section is to review these

158 methods for prospects to be used with young ruminants; Table 1 provides a summary
159 overview.

160 ***Urinary purine derivatives***

161 Urinary excretion of purine derivatives (**PD**) has been used as an index of microbial
162 protein supply in ruminants post-weaning (Chen et al., 1990; Funaba et al., 1997). The
163 basis of this approach is that nucleic acids leaving the rumen are of microbial origin
164 (McAllan, 1980). Purines are important components of nucleic acids (the bases adenine
165 and guanine) and absorbed purines are metabolised and excreted in urine as their end-
166 products, which include allantoin, uric acid, xanthine, and hypoxanthine (Chen and
167 Ørskov, 2004). Thus, urinary excretion of PD is quantitatively related to the mass of
168 microbial protein supply to the host. However, this estimation is generally associated
169 with error due to several factors including the endogenous contribution to urinary PD,
170 variation in the purine to N ratio in the bacteria used as a reference (e.g., differences
171 between solid- and liquid-associated bacteria (Bates et al., 1985)) and losses of PD
172 through routes other than urinary excretion.

173 Firstly, saliva contains high levels of uric acid and allantoin, which are recycled to the
174 rumen and degraded by the ruminal microbes (Chen et al., 1990 and 1992) and
175 estimates of microbial protein supply from urinary excretion of PD need to be corrected
176 for such losses (Chen et al., 1992). However, because the development of salivary
177 glands in calves varies and relates to time since weaning, there is a variable ratio of
178 urinary PD to the intestinal flow of PD, and subsequent estimation of microbial protein
179 (Funaba et al., 1997). Secondly, the endogenous PD contribution might also be variable
180 during the period immediately after weaning. While endogenous PD production has

181 been reported to be independent of the age (Funaba et al., 1997), Chen et al. (1992)
182 showed that the abrupt removal of dietary protein supply increased endogenous
183 allantoin production in sheep. More research is required to confirm the effect of
184 endogenous production of PD on the accuracy of microbial protein prediction from
185 urinary excretion of PD in young ruminants. Lastly, DMI increases with age in young
186 ruminants after weaning, which means that a greater amount of feed PD may escape
187 ruminal degradation and contribute to the total urinary excretion of PD (Shingfield,
188 2000), resulting in an overestimation of microbial protein. In conclusion, it appears that
189 urinary excretion of PD is suitable to rank treatments based on relative differences in
190 microbial protein synthesis, but not to give quantitative reference measurements for the
191 individual animal, as suggested previously for adult ruminants (Shingfield, 2000).

192 ***Faecal ether lipids (archaeol)***

193 Recently, researchers have looked for distinctive components of methanogens
194 (archaea) which could be measured directly in biological samples, either intact or
195 following metabolism. The cell membranes of methanogenic archaea include unusual
196 lipids, such as archaeol and caldarchaeol, which contain distinctive ether linkages.
197 Archaeol is present in faeces from ruminants, but has not been detected in faeces from
198 other herbivores (Gill et al., 2011). Therefore, faecal archaeol was proposed as a
199 biomarker for methane (**CH₄**) emissions from growing and adult ruminants. However,
200 the relationship between CH₄ emissions and faecal archaeol concentrations is weak
201 (Gill et al., 2011; McCartney et al., 2013; Schwarm et al., 2015), most likely due to
202 differences in the passage rate of methanogens from the rumen (McCartney et al.,
203 2014) suggesting that faecal archaeol has limited potential as a marker for

204 methanogenesis. Whilst the ability to distinguish ruminant faeces from non-ruminant
205 faeces suggests that faecal archaeol might be a useful marker for the development and
206 function of the rumen and methanogenesis, we are not aware of any published literature
207 where such effects are measured during pre- and post-weaning periods in young
208 ruminants.

209 ***Breath sulphide***

210 Techniques to estimate the degradation of proteins by ruminal microbes have depended
211 on the use of fistulated cows, so identifying markers of protein breakdown that can be
212 accomplished in accessible samples is crucial to better understanding this aspect of
213 ruminal function. Ruminal degradation of sulphur compounds, such as sulphates,
214 methionine and cysteine, results in generation of hydrogen sulphide in the ruminal
215 headspace gas (Dewhurst et al., 2007a). Some of the hydrogen sulphide is absorbed
216 through the lungs (Dougherty et al., 1962) and subsequently metabolised to dimethyl
217 sulphide, which is a distinctive component of cow's breath (Elliott-Martin et al., 1997).
218 The multiple origins of sulphides in ruminal gases or breath makes its measurement
219 limited as a potential marker of protein degradation, but it does seem a viable option to
220 monitor the establishment of the ruminal microbiota.

221 Much higher levels of hydrogen sulphide are generated when cattle consume water or
222 feed contaminated with high levels of sulphate and this leads to a serious condition
223 called polioencephalomalacia, which often results in death. A functioning ruminal
224 microbial population is required for production of hydrogen sulphide and adaptation to
225 high sulphate intakes (proliferation of sulphate-utilising microorganisms) can take
226 several days (Lutnicki et al., 2014). Despite this adaptation, polioencephalomalacia was

227 induced in 6-week old lambs offered a high sulphur diet (Gooneratne et al., 1989)
228 demonstrating the potential to use sulphides as a marker for the activity of rumen
229 microorganisms in young ruminants.

230 ***Methane emissions***

231 Anaerobic fermentation of feed in the rumen into acetate and butyrate also generates
232 hydrogen (Janssen, 2010). This hydrogen is largely utilized by methanogens in the
233 rumen, together with carbon dioxide, to form CH₄, which is emitted by the ruminants.
234 Whilst hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis is the main pathway to CH₄ in the rumen, some
235 diets can promote increased levels of other pathways, including from methyl-containing
236 compounds (e.g., Neill et al. (1978)). Both hydrogenotrophic and methylotrophic
237 methanogenesis are microbial (archaeal) processes, so the CH₄ emitted is a
238 quantitative proxy indicating ruminal fermentation. The DMI is the main driver of CH₄
239 production in post-weaning growing cattle (Jiao et al., 2014; Jonker et al., 2016) and
240 sheep (Muetzel and Clark, 2015) offered forage-based diets with CH₄ yields typically
241 between 18 and 26 g/kg DMI. To our knowledge, there is little information available on
242 CH₄ emissions from pre-weaned calves. One study with veal calves of over 15 weeks of
243 age and 136 kg BW fed exclusively milk replacer found that negligible amounts of CH₄
244 were produced (<2 g/kg DMI) (Van den Borne et al., 2006). Dairy calves in two studies
245 offered solid calf starter meal from three days of age (and total mixed ration with 50%
246 hay from four weeks of age) already produced between 5 and 26 g CH₄/kg DMI before
247 weaning (when between two and eight weeks of age), while consuming 0.2 to 1.2 kg of
248 solid feed (Muetzel, 2015). Up to week five of age, the CH₄ yield was lower (<16 g/kg
249 DMI) than post-weaning (21 to 26 g/kg DMI), and this appeared to be associated with

250 low ruminal acetate/propionate ratio and high propionate concentration pre-weaning.
251 Fermentation of feed into propionate leads to less hydrogen formation in the rumen and
252 therefore less CH₄ formation (Van Nevel and Demeyer, 1996; Janssen, 2010). A meta-
253 analysis indicated that the acetate/propionate ratio was higher in calves with access to
254 forage pre-weaning and higher ruminal pH pre- and post-weaning (Imani et al., 2017).
255 The higher CH₄ yield in calves from week six to ten of age in the second study
256 compared to the first study by Muetzel and Clark (2015) could be due to higher forage
257 intake, though this was not specified.

258 Most respiration chamber facilities are designed for work **with** larger growing and adult
259 cattle and are often not suited for work with pre-weaning and young ruminants, which
260 may have extremely low CH₄ emissions. However, it might be possible to operate these
261 large **chambers** at a lower air flow rate to enable measurement of low CH₄ emissions
262 (Muetzel, 2015), **and there are also respiration chambers for small ruminants and**
263 **facilities where chamber size is adjustable allowing quantification of CH₄ in young**
264 **calves** (e.g., Van den Borne et al., 2016). The laser CH₄ detector is highly sensitive to
265 CH₄ concentrations in gas samples and has been used to estimate CH₄ emissions from
266 cattle based on the frequency and CH₄ concentrations in eructed ruminal gases
267 (Chagunda, 2013). Preliminary studies at Scotland's Rural College have demonstrated
268 that the use of the laser CH₄ detector to monitor the onset and development of a
269 functioning ruminant in calves pre- and post-weaning (Dewhurst; personal
270 communication). Future studies are needed to validate if the laser CH₄ detector can
271 provide usefull CH₄ data, which in turn reflects ruminal function in young ruminants.

272 **Fatty acids**

273 Over the years there has been an increasing interest in the use of odd- and branched-
274 chain fatty acids (**OBCFA**) as potential biomarkers to monitor ruminal function in mature
275 ruminants (Vlaeminck et al., 2006a). This approach is also based on looking for
276 components of ruminal microbes which are present (intact or as metabolites) in
277 accessible samples; OBCFA are hardly found in feedstuffs, but are present at higher
278 levels in microbial lipids. For example, Kim et al. (2005) showed that OBCFA can be
279 useful markers to study ruminal microbial colonization, but the patterns of OBCFA did
280 not identify the types of bacteria colonising herbage. Vlaeminck et al. (2005) noted that
281 OBCFA can be used as markers for the duodenal flow of microbial matter in dairy cows,
282 especially where feed intake data are not available. In addition, other studies
283 (Vlaeminck et al., (2006b), Dewhurst et al., (2007a and 2007b); Bhagwat et al., (2012))
284 evaluated the potential of OBCFA in milk to predict ruminal proportions of VFA and
285 showed a strong relationship between milk OBCFA and molar proportions of individual
286 VFA in the rumen. However, the past studies document the use of OBCFA as potential
287 markers to monitor ruminal function used mature ruminants and many used milk
288 samples. The potential of OBCFA to monitor ruminal function in young ruminants has
289 not been explored.

290 **Importance of feed efficiency for ruminant production**

291 Feed is a major and variable input cost in ruminant production systems. Improvement in
292 feed utilization and conversion into products (feed efficiency; **FE**) is crucial, as it can
293 lead to a substantial increase in productivity, profitability and potential gains in
294 sustainability. While there are different ways to define FE depending on the production

295 system, stock class and type of saleable products, most of the literature refers to FE as
296 feed conversion efficiency (**FCE**), which is the product output per unit of feed intake,
297 such as BW gain/DMI in growing sheep. Further, residual feed intake (**RFI**) defines the
298 difference between actual and expected feed intake, based on BW and growth of the
299 animal, and it measures FE that is independent of BW gain and mature body size
300 (Crews, 2005). The RFI is increasingly used by animal breeders as a way to avoid
301 selection for FE leading to correlated increases in animal size.

302 **Challenges to quantify feed efficiency in young ruminants**

303 There are many challenges when seeking to quantify FE in young ruminants,
304 particularly in a grazing system or neonatal stage, where it is difficult to measure intake
305 accurately. Ruminant FE measurements involve two components: product output (e.g.,
306 BW gain and milk production) and feed intake. The optimum test duration to accurately
307 measure individual FE in growing ruminants ranges from 42 (Wang et al., 2006) to 100
308 days (Archer and Bergh, 2000). The International Committee for Animal Recording
309 recommends a minimum period of 60 days, together with an adjustment period of at
310 least 21 days, in which both individual animal feed intake and routine recording of
311 animal BW are applied to remove as much of the non-genetic variation as possible. The
312 recommended length for FE recording is a compromise between accuracy and
313 minimum cost. In general, the duration for measuring an animal trait depends on its
314 repeatability (i.e., time-consistency or reliability), together with the frequency of the
315 measurement (e.g., weekly vs. monthly). Repeatability or intra-class correlation
316 coefficient is a measure of the tendency of animals to maintain their ranking over time
317 and gives information about the magnitude of measurement errors (within-animal

318 variance) compared to phenotypic variability (between-animal variance). Therefore,
319 more repeatable animal traits subjected to less errors need less time to be accurately
320 measured compared to those that are less repeatable.

321 In growing beef cattle, repeatability of DMI was reported to range between 0.51 and
322 0.70, whereas that for BW gain ranges between -0.03 and 0.21 (Kelly et al., 2010; Coyle
323 et al., 2016). Thus, the measurement of DMI is not a critical trait determining the
324 duration of the FE test (Archer et al., 1997). Repeatability of milk yield is higher than BW
325 gain, ranging between 0.32 and 0.53 according to different estimates (7 studies
326 summarized by Roman et al., (2000). Thus, the time required to rank lactating cows
327 according to their FE could be expected to be significantly shorter than the time required
328 to rank growing ruminants. The exploration of markers and proxies of FE is needed to
329 overcome the issues associated with the length and cost of measuring these traits, and
330 will be essential in most field conditions where a reliable direct measurement of intake
331 and performance is not possible or at least extremely challenging.

332 **Markers and proxies to monitor feed efficiency**

333 The use of markers and proxies to monitor FE has focused on growing or lactating
334 animals, particularly the later stage of growing and finishing cattle. The next section
335 reviews markers and proxies for prospects to be used with young ruminants (Table 2
336 provides a summary overview).

337 ***Body condition score and body weight***

338 The use of BW and BCS as proxies for FE is relatively simple, inexpensive and easy to
339 implement on-farm (Negussie et al., 2017). Talebi (2012) showed a positive correlation

340 ($r^2 = 62\%$) between final BW and FCE in lambs. Similarly, several studies with young
341 ruminants (Arthur et al., 1996; Basarab et al., 2003; Herd et al., 2016) noted a positive
342 correlation between BCS and RFI, but the accuracy of the prediction varies. Other
343 studies using young beef cattle reported a weak correlation between RFI and BW (Herd
344 and Bishop, 2000; Schenkel et al., 2004). Similarly, studies have shown no relationship
345 between RFI and BW in growing bulls (Arthur et al., 2001a; Arthur et al., 2001b), steers
346 (Nkrumah et al., 2004), beef heifers (Kelly et al., 2010) and growing dairy heifers (Green
347 et al., 2013). Current literature shows that although there are overall low to moderate
348 relationships between RFI and BW, BW cannot be confidently used as a proxy for RFI
349 in young ruminants. The use of both BW and BCS as proxies to predict FCE in young
350 ruminants requires further investigation.

351 ***Methane emissions***

352 Emissions of CH₄ are a loss of energy for the animal and reduced CH₄ emissions might
353 therefore be associated with improved FE. However, the relationship between FE (RFI
354 in most cases) and CH₄ yield has been inconsistent with relationships having been
355 neutral (Hegarty et al., 2007; Waghorn and Hegarty, 2011; Freetly and Brown-Brandl,
356 2013; Alemu et al., 2017), positive (Nkrumah et al., 2006) and negative (Mercadante et
357 al., 2015; Herd et al., 2016; McDonnell et al., 2016) in post-weaned growing cattle.

358 Whilst CH₄ is an important loss of energy that can range from 2 to 12% of gross energy
359 (**GE**) intake (Johnson and Johnson, 1995), it is more usually in the range 4 to 8% of GE
360 intake with even less variation between animals offered the same diet. The use of CH₄
361 as a proxy for FE in young ruminants needs more study.

362 ***Blood- and milk-based markers***

363 Since major mechanisms underlying the between-animal variability in FE are related to
364 animal metabolism (Cantalapiedra-Hijar et al., 2018b), it can be argued that markers at
365 the metabolic level may be more reliable than those at the digestive level to detect
366 differences in FE across individuals. The potential of several hormones, such as leptin,
367 insulin and insulin-like growth factor-1, aspartate aminotransferase and albumin as
368 markers of between-animal variation in FE has been proposed by several authors
369 (Johnson and Johnson, 1995; Richardson and Herd, 2004; Kelly et al., 2010), though a
370 recent review found inconsistent results (Cantalapiedra-Hijar et al., 2018a and 2018b).
371 This could be due to interactions among plasma hormones and diet, physiological
372 stage, age of animals or even the sampling procedures (Cantalapiedra-Hijar et al.,
373 2018a and 2018b), which precludes their use as reliable markers of FE at the individual
374 animal level.

375 Recently, there has been an increasing interest in studying metabolites, proteins and
376 genes potentially related to between-animal variability in FE. A recent study by Duarte
377 et al. (2019) identified a common pathway related to branch-chain amino acid
378 degradation through a meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies on RFI.
379 Branch-chain amino acids have an important role in protein synthesis and turnover,
380 energy-consuming metabolic processes, and their degradation can contribute to
381 gluconeogenesis. Cantalapiedra-Hijar et al. (2018b) also identified protein turnover rate
382 as one of the main determinants of animal variability in FE, and metabolites related to
383 amino acid metabolism and protein turnover have already been proposed as indicators

384 of FE (Richardson and Herd, 2004; Karisa et al., 2014; Meale et al., 2017a; Meale et al.,
385 2017b).

386 A promising marker of FE is based on the ^{15}N natural enrichment of animal proteins
387 over the diet (nitrogen isotopic discrimination; $\Delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{animal-diet}}$). Nitrogen exists as two
388 stable isotopes in nature: the more abundant light ^{14}N , and the heavy ^{15}N . The
389 $\Delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{animal-diet}}$ originates from the isotopic selectivity of enzymes, leading to different ^{15}N
390 natural abundance between substrates and products during metabolic reactions
391 (Gannes et al., 1998). Transaminase and deaminase in the animal liver are involved in
392 major amino acid catabolism, and they have been suggested as key factors in the origin
393 of $\Delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{animal-diet}}$ (Macko et al., 1986). Therefore, ruminants $\Delta^{15}\text{N}$ biologically links to
394 protein metabolism (e.g., protein- or nitrogen-use efficiency) (Cantalapiedra-Hijar et al.,
395 2018a) and indirectly links to FE, as nitrogen-use efficiency (**NUE**) is a major
396 component of FE (Nasrollahi et al., 2020).

397 This new biomarker seems to reflect changes in NUE or FCE across dietary conditions
398 (Cheng et al., 2013; Cantalapiedra-Hijar et al., 2018b), but also across individuals under
399 the same diet and condition (Wheadon et al., 2014; Cantalapiedra-Hijar et al., 2018a).
400 More studies are warranted to explore the potential and limitation of this new isotopic
401 biomarker of between-animal variation in FE as well as to assess how heritable it is.
402 Several studies have demonstrated the significant negative relationship between FCE
403 and $\Delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{plasma-diet}}$, and between FCE and $\Delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{blood-diet}}$ (Cantalapiedra-Hijar et al., 2018a),
404 with the fact that it is driven by the protein component of growth (i.e., NUE) suggested
405 by the improvement in relationships when ultrasound-based estimates of body

406 composition, such as fat deposition are included in the relationship (Meale et al., 2018).
407 However, it is unclear how this pertains to neonatal ruminants.

408 It is worth noting that although urea nitrogen content of blood or milk has also been
409 proposed as a moderately heritable marker of NUE, it may not be able to capture the
410 animal variability properly in NUE (Huhtanen et al., 2015). Furthermore, several studies
411 have also proposed blood urea nitrogen as a marker for RFI, but its inconsistency
412 across time (Richardson and Herd, 2004) precludes its use as a universal marker of FE.
413 The fact that blood or milk urea nitrogen describes digestive rather than metabolic
414 variations in the NUE (Hof et al., 1997), could partly explain why it fails to reflect the
415 between-animal variability in NUE or FE.

416 ***Wool and hair-based marker***

417 While $\Delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{plasma-diet}}$ showed its potential use as a biomarker to indicate FE in both large
418 and small ruminants. A recent study showed that $\Delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{wool-diet}}$ was negatively correlated
419 with FCE of growing sheep (Cheng et al., 2015). Though the study is a preliminary
420 analysis, it highlights the potential to use a marker from non-invasive and easy to obtain
421 samples, such as wool, to predict FE. Wool is known to provide a cumulative ^{15}N
422 signature, which may be used to indicate a cumulative change in FE over a longer
423 period of time than blood. However, it is unclear how this pertains to neonatal
424 ruminants. Further, hair from cattle demonstrated a similar potential to indicate FE
425 (Meale et al., 2017b).

426 **Bringing ruminal function and feed efficiency together, and what comes next?**

427 Unifying the two areas of this review, there is a current interest in the relationship
428 between ruminal function and FE in the context of selection for increased FE, as well as
429 the possibility to manipulate it through persistent effects of early-life interventions.
430 Richardson and Herd (2004) analysed the FE trait in beef cattle and suggested that
431 digestion contributes a relatively small proportion of the trait in comparison with
432 metabolic effects. At the same time, the ruminal metagenomics work of Roehe et al.
433 (2016) suggests that ruminal processes have a strong relationship with FE. Clearly,
434 there is still a lot to learn about the interactions between the host and microbiome in the
435 rumen. Given the interest in genetic effects on FE and the long-term effects of early-life
436 development of ruminal function, there is a real need to make measurements of ruminal
437 function and FE on larger numbers of animals (genetic studies) offered with different
438 diets (diet studies). Detailed simultaneous analysis of microbiomes and metabolomes in
439 such large studies will help identify new markers or proxies that can be used to optimise
440 both ruminal function and host FE.

441 **Conclusion**

442 The use of breath sulphide and CH₄ emissions show potential as non-invasive markers
443 for the establishment of the rumen microbiota in young ruminants, however their use as
444 robust indicators of FE is limited at this stage. The use of ¹⁵N discrimination from
445 plasma samples appears the most promising proxy for FE, which maintains its strong
446 negative relationship with NUE and FCE across varying animal ages, indicating its
447 potential as a marker to facilitate larger scale studies with growing ruminants. More
448 research is needed to explore potential proxies and markers to indicate ruminal function

449 and FE in young ruminants, as the current available indicators are mostly developed for
450 mature ruminants.

451 **Ethics approval**

452 Not applicable

453 **Data and model availability statement**

454 Data mentioned in this publication can be found from cited literature

455 **Author ORCIDs**

456 L. Cheng: 0000-0002-8483-0495

457 G. Cantalapiedra-Hijar: 0000-0001-9486-8238

458 S. J. Meale: 0000-0002-8417-1186

459 I. Rugoho: 0000-0002-3792-3584

460 A. Jonker: 0000-0002-6756-8616

461 M. A. Khan: 0000-0001-7590-5128

462 O. Al-Marashdeh: 0000-0001-6493-0395

463 R. J. Dewhurst: 0000-0002-9357-7372

464 **Author contributions**

465 LC and RD conceptualized and designed the review, LC, GC, SM, IR, AJ, MK, OA and

466 RJ drafted different sections according to their expertise and revised the article.

467 **Declaration of interest**

468 No competing interests to report.

469 **Declaration of interest**

470 None

471 **Acknowledgement**

472 Authors want to acknowledge Md Safiqur Rahaman Shishir (The University of
473 Melbourne, Australia), Mr. Zelin Li (The University of Melbourne, Australia) and Prof.
474 Jianjun Hu (Tarim University, China), for providing useful discussion points and
475 formatting this paper.

476 **Financial support statement**

477 The research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-
478 for-profit section.

479 **Reference**

480

481 Alemu, A., D. Vyas, G. Manafiazar, J. Basarab, and K. Beauchemin, 2017. Enteric methane
482 emissions from low–and high–residual feed intake beef heifers measured using
483 GreenFeed and respiration chamber techniques. *Journal of Animal Science* 95, 3727-
484 3737.

485 Allen, M. S., 1997. Relationship between fermentation acid production in the rumen and the
486 requirement for physically effective fiber. *Journal of Dairy Science* 80, 1447-1462.

487 Archer, J., P. Arthur, R. Herd, P. Parnell, and W. Pitchford, 1997. Optimum postweaning test for
488 measurement of growth rate, feed intake, and feed efficiency in British breed cattle.
489 *Journal of Animal Science* 75, 2024-2032.

490 Archer, J., and L. Bergh, 2000. Duration of performance tests for growth rate, feed intake and
491 feed efficiency in four biological types of beef cattle. *Livestock Production Science* 65,
492 47-55.

493 Arthur, P., J. Archer, D. Johnston, R. Herd, E. Richardson, and P. Parnell, 2001a. Genetic and
494 phenotypic variance and covariance components for feed intake, feed efficiency, and
495 other postweaning traits in Angus cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* 79, 2805-2811.

496 Arthur, P.F., Herd, R.M., Wright, J., Xu, G., Dibley, K. and Richardson, E.C., 1996. Net feed
497 conversion efficiency and its relationship with other traits in beef cattle. In *Proceedings-*
498 *Australian Society of Animal Production Conference 1996, 21, 107-110*

499 Arthur, P., G. Renand, and D. Krauss, 2001b. Genetic and phenotypic relationships among
500 different measures of growth and feed efficiency in young Charolais bulls. *Livestock*
501 *Production Science* 68, 131-139.

502 Bach, A., S. Calsamiglia, and M. D. Stern, 2005. Nitrogen metabolism in the rumen. *Journal of*
503 *Dairy Science* 88, E9-E21.

504 Bannink, A., J. Kogut, J. Dijkstra, J. France, E. Kebreab, A. Van Vuuren, and S. Tamminga,
505 2006. Estimation of the stoichiometry of volatile fatty acid production in the rumen of
506 lactating cows. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 238, 36-51.

507 Basarab, J., M. Price, J. Aalhus, E. Okine, W. Snelling, and K. Lyle, 2003. Residual feed intake
508 and body composition in young growing cattle. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* 83,
509 189-204.

510 Bates, D. B., J. A. Gillett, S. A. Barao, and W. G. Bergen, 1985. The effect of specific growth
511 rate and stage of growth on nucleic acid-protein values of pure cultures and mixed
512 ruminal bacteria. *Journal of Animal Science* 61, 713-724.

513 Bergman, E, 1990. Energy contributions of volatile fatty acids from the gastrointestinal tract in
514 various species. *Physiological Reviews* 70, 567-590.

515 Bhagwat, A., B. De Baets, A. Steen, B. Vlaeminck, and V. Fievez, 2012. Prediction of ruminal
516 volatile fatty acid proportions of lactating dairy cows based on milk odd-and branched-
517 chain fatty acid profiles: New models, better predictions. *Journal of Dairy Science* 95,
518 3926-3937.

519 Cammack, K. M., C. L. Wright, K. Austin, P. Johnson, R. Cockrum, K. Kessler, and K. Olson,
520 2010. Effects of high-sulfur water and clinoptilolite on health and growth performance of
521 steers fed forage-based diets. *Journal of Animal Science* 88,1777-1785.

522 Cantalapiedra-Hijar, G., M. Abo-Ismael, G. Carstens, L. Guan, R. Hegarty, D. Kenny, M. McGee,
523 G. Plastow, A. Relling, and I. Ortigues-Marty, 2018a. Biological determinants of
524 between-animal variation in feed efficiency of growing beef cattle. *Animal* 12 (suppl. 2),
525 321-335.

526 Cantalapiedra-Hijar, G., R. Dewhurst, L. Cheng, A. Cabrita, A. Fonseca, P. Nozière, D.
527 Makowski, H. Fouillet, and I. Ortigues-Marty, 2018b. Nitrogen isotopic fractionation as a
528 biomarker for nitrogen use efficiency in ruminants: a meta-analysis. *Animal* 12,1827-
529 1837.

530 **Castells D., 2013. Effect of different fiber sources on the digestive function and development of**
531 **calves. 1 recurs electrònic (141 p.). ISBN 9788449037818. PhD Thesis, The**
532 **Autonomous University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain,**
533 **<https://ddd.uab.cat/record/126826>**

534 Chagunda, M., 2013. Opportunities and challenges in the use of the Laser Methane Detector to
535 monitor enteric methane emissions from ruminants. *Animal* 7(suppl. 2), 394-400.

536 Chen, X., F. D. Hovell, and E. Ørskov, 1990. Excretion of purine derivatives by ruminants:
537 recycling of allantoin into the rumen via saliva and its fate in the gut. *British Journal of*
538 *Nutrition* 63,197-205.

539 Chen X.B., E.R. Ørskov, 2004 Research on urinary excretion of purine derivatives in ruminants:
540 past, present and future. In: Makkar H.P.S., Chen X.B. (eds) Estimation of microbial
541 protein supply in ruminants using urinary purine derivatives. Springer, Dordrecht. 180-
542 210. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-2844-1_21

543 Chen, X. B., S. A. Chowdhury, F. D. D. Hovell, E. R. Ørskov, and D. J. Kyle, 1992. Endogenous
544 allantoin excretion in response to changes in protein supply in sheep. *The Journal of*
545 *Nutrition* 122, 2226-2232.

546 Cheng K. J., J. P. Fay, R. E. Howarth, J. W. Costerton, 1980. Sequence of events in the
547 digestion of fresh legume leaves by rumen bacteria. *Applied and Environmental*
548 *Microbiology*. 40, 613-25.

549 Cheng, K., R. McCowan, and J. Costerton, 1979. Adherent epithelial bacteria in ruminants and
550 their roles in digestive tract function. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 32,139-
551 148.

552 Cheng, L., C. M. Logan, R. J. Dewhurst, S. Hodge, H. Zhou, and G. Edwards, 2015. Effects of
553 feed intake and genetics on tissue nitrogen-15 enrichment and feed conversion
554 efficiency in sheep. *Journal of Animal Science* 93, 5849-5855.

555 Cheng, L., A. Sheahan, S. Gibbs, A. Rius, J. Kay, S. Meier, G. Edwards, R. Dewhurst, and J.
556 Roche, 2013. Nitrogen isotopic fractionation can be used to predict nitrogen-use
557 efficiency in dairy cows fed temperate pasture. *Journal of Animal Science* 91, 5785-
558 5788.

559 Coyle, S., C. Fitzsimons, D. Kenny, A. Kelly, and M. McGee, 2016. Repeatability of feed
560 efficiency in steers offered a high-concentrate diet. *Journal of Animal Science* 94, 719-
561 719.

562 Crews, J. D., 2005. Genetics of efficient feed utilization and national cattle evaluation: a review.
563 *Genetics and Molecular Research: GMR* 4, 152-165.

564 Dewhurst, R., D. Davies, and R. Merry, 2000. Microbial protein supply from the rumen. *Animal*
565 *Feed Science and Technology* 85,1-21.

566 Dewhurst, R., E. Kim, R. Evans, A. Cabrita, and A. Fonseca, 2007a. Effects of dietary sulphur
567 sources on concentrations of hydrogen sulphide in the rumen head-space gas of dairy
568 cows. *Animal* 1, 531-535.

569 Dewhurst, R., J. Moorby, B. Vlaeminck, and V. Fievez, 2007b. Apparent recovery of duodenal
570 odd-and branched-chain fatty acids in milk of dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 90,
571 1775-1780.

572 Dougherty, R., W. Stewart, M. Nold, I. Lindahl, C. Mullenax, and B. Leek, 1962. Pulmonary
573 absorption of eructated gas in ruminants. *American Journal of Veterinary Research* 23,
574 205-212.

575 Duarte, D., C. J. Newbold, E. Detmann, F. Silva, P. Freitas, R. Veroneze, and M. Duarte, 2019.
576 Genome-wide association studies pathway-based meta-analysis for residual feed intake
577 in beef cattle. *Animal Genetics* 50, 150-153.

578 Elliott-Martin, R., T. Mottram, J. Gardner, P. Hobbs, and P. Bartlett, 1997. Preliminary
579 investigation of breath sampling as a monitor of health in dairy cattle. *Journal of*
580 *Agricultural Engineering Research* 67, 267-275.

581 Freetly, H., and T. Brown-Brandl, 2013. Enteric methane production from beef cattle that vary in
582 feed efficiency. *Journal of Animal Science* 91, 4826-4831.

583 Funaba, M., K. Kagiya, T. Iriki, and M. Abe, 1997. Duodenal flow of microbial nitrogen
584 estimated from urinary excretion of purine derivatives in calves after early weaning.
585 *Journal of Animal Science* 75,1965-1973.

586 Furman, O., L. Shenhav, G. Sasson, F. Kokou, H. Honig, S. Jacoby, T. Hertz, O. X. Cordero, E.
587 Halperin, and I. Mizrahi, 2020. Stochasticity constrained by deterministic effects of diet
588 and age drive rumen microbiome assembly dynamics. *Nature Communications* 11, 1-13.

589 Gannes, L. Z., C. M. Del Rio, and P. Koch, 1998. Natural abundance variations in stable
590 isotopes and their potential uses in animal physiological ecology. *Comparative*
591 *Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* 119, 725-737.

592 Gill, F., R. Dewhurst, R. Evershed, E. McGeough, P. O’Kiely, R. Pancost, and I. Bull, 2011.
593 Analysis of archaeal ether lipids in bovine faeces. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*
594 166, 87-92.

595 Gonzalez-Ronquillo, M., J. Balcells, J. Guada, and F. Vicente, 2003. Purine derivative excretion
596 in dairy cows: Endogenous excretion and the effect of exogenous nucleic acid supply.
597 *Journal of Dairy Science* 86, 1282-1291.

598 Gooneratne, S., A. Olkowski, and D. Christensen, 1989. Sulfur-induced polioencephalomalacia
599 in sheep: some biochemical changes. *Canadian Journal of Veterinary Research* 53, 462.

600 Green, T., J. Jago, K. Macdonald, and G. Waghorn, 2013. Relationships between residual feed
601 intake, average daily gain, and feeding behavior in growing dairy heifers. *Journal of*
602 *Dairy Science* 96, 3098-3107.

603 **Guzman C.E., L.T. Bereza-Malcolm, B. De Groef, A.E. Franks, 2015. Presence of selected**
604 **methanogens, fibrolytic bacteria, and proteobacteria in the gastrointestinal tract of**
605 **neonatal dairy calves from birth to 72 hours. *PLOS One* 10, e0133048.**
606 **<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133048>**

607 Hegarty, R., J. P. Goopy, R. Herd, and B. McCorkell, 2007. Cattle selected for lower residual
608 feed intake have reduced daily methane production. *Journal of Animal Science* 85,1479-
609 1486.

610 Henderson, G., F. Cox, S. Ganesh, A. Jonker, W. Young, L. Abecia, E. Angarita, P. Aravena, G.
611 N. Arenas, and C. Ariza, 2015. Rumen microbial community composition varies with diet
612 and host, but a core microbiome is found across a wide geographical range. *Scientific*
613 *Reports* 5, 14567.

614 Herd, R., and S. Bishop, 2000. Genetic variation in residual feed intake and its association with
615 other production traits in British Hereford cattle. *Livestock Production Science* 63, 111-
616 119.

617 Herd, R. M., J. Velazco, P. Arthur, and R. Hegarty, 2016. Associations among methane
618 emission traits measured in the feedlot and in respiration chambers in Angus cattle bred
619 to vary in feed efficiency. *Journal of Animal Science* 94, 4882-4891.

620 Hodgson, J., 1971. The development of solid food intake in calves 4. The effect of the addition
621 of material to the rumen, or its removal from the rumen, on voluntary food intake. *Animal*
622 *Science* 13, 581-592.

623 Hof, G., M. Vervoorn, P. Lenaers, and S. Tamminga, 1997. Milk urea nitrogen as a tool to
624 monitor the protein nutrition of dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 80, 3333-3340.

625 Huhtanen, P., E. Cabezas-Garcia, S. Krizsan, and K. Shingfield, 2015. Evaluation of between-
626 cow variation in milk urea and rumen ammonia nitrogen concentrations and the
627 association with nitrogen utilization and diet digestibility in lactating cows. *Journal of*
628 *Dairy Science* 98, 3182-3196.

629 Imani, M., M. Mirzaei, B. Baghbanzadeh-Nobari, and M. Ghaffari, 2017. Effects of forage
630 provision to dairy calves on growth performance and rumen fermentation: A meta-
631 analysis and meta-regression. *Journal of Dairy Science* 100, 1136-1150.

632 Jami, E., A. Israel, A. Kotser, and I. Mizrahi, 2013. Exploring the bovine rumen bacterial
633 community from birth to adulthood. *The ISME Journal* 7, 1069-1079.

634 Janssen, P. H., 2010. Influence of hydrogen on rumen methane formation and fermentation
635 balances through microbial growth kinetics and fermentation thermodynamics. *Animal*
636 *Feed Science and Technology* 160, 1-22.

637 Jiao, H., T. Yan, D. A. Wills, A. F. Carson, and D. A. McDowell, 2014. Development of prediction
638 models for quantification of total methane emission from enteric fermentation of young
639 Holstein cattle at various ages. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 183,160-166.

640 Jiao, J., J. Huang, C. Zhou, and Z. Tan, 2015. Taxonomic identification of ruminal epithelial
641 bacterial diversity during rumen development in goats. *Applied and Environmental*
642 *Microbiology* 81, 3502-3509.

643 Johnson, K. A., and D. E. Johnson, 1995. Methane emissions from cattle. *Journal of Animal*
644 *Science* 73, 2483-2492.

645 Jonker, A., S. Muetzel, G. Molano, and D. Pacheco, 2016. Effect of fresh pasture forage quality,
646 feeding level and supplementation on methane emissions from growing beef cattle.
647 *Animal Production Science* 56,1714-1721.

648 Karisa, B., J. Thomson, Z. Wang, C. Li, Y. Montanholi, S. Miller, S. Moore, and G. Plastow,
649 2014. Plasma metabolites associated with residual feed intake and other productivity
650 performance traits in beef cattle. *Livestock Science* 165, 200-211.

651 Kelly, A., M. McGee, D. Crews Jr, A. Fahey, A. Wylie, and D. Kenny, 2010. Effect of divergence
652 in residual feed intake on feeding behavior, blood metabolic variables, and body
653 composition traits in growing beef heifers. *Journal of Animal Science* 88, 109-123.

654 Khan, M., A. Bach, D. Weary, and M. Von Keyserlingk, 2016. Invited review: Transitioning from
655 milk to solid feed in dairy heifers. *Journal of Dairy Science* 99, 885-902.

656 Khan, M., D. Weary, and M. Von Keyserlingk, 2011. Invited review: Effects of milk ration on
657 solid feed intake, weaning, and performance in dairy heifers. *Journal of Dairy Science*
658 94,1071-1081.

659 Kim, E.J., R. Sanderson, M.S. Dhanoa, and R.J. Dewhurst, 2005. Fatty acid profiles associated
660 with microbial colonization of freshly ingested grass and rumen biohydrogenation. *Journal*
661 *of Dairy Science*, 88, 3220-3230.

662 Kmet, V., K. Boda a, P. Javorský, and R. Nemcová, 1986. The enzymatic activity of rumen
663 microflora in calves. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition* 56, 73-77.

664 Laarman, A., and M. Oba, 2011. Effect of calf starter on rumen pH of Holstein dairy calves at
665 weaning. *Journal of Dairy Science* 94, 5661-5664.

666 Lanzas, C., G. Broderick, and D. Fox, 2008. Improved feed protein fractionation schemes for
667 formulating rations with the Cornell Net Carbohydrate and Protein System. *Journal of*
668 *Dairy Science* 91, 4881-4891.

669 Li, R. W., E. E. Connor, C. Li, V. Baldwin, Ransom L, and M. E. Sparks, 2012. Characterization
670 of the rumen microbiota of pre-ruminant calves using metagenomic tools. *Environmental*
671 *Microbiology* 14, 129-139.

672 Liem, K. F., W. E. Bemis, W. F. Walker, L. Grande, and W. F. Walker Jr. The University of
673 California, 2001. *Functional anatomy of the vertebrates: an evolutionary perspective*,
674 Volume 1, Edition 3, Harcourt College Publishers, San Diego, California, USA

675 Lutnicki, K., E. Madej, T. Riha, and L. Kurek, 2014. Polioencephalomalacia in ruminants caused
676 by excessive amount of sulphur-a review. *Bulletin of the Veterinary Institute in Pulawy*
677 58, 321-326.

678 Macko, S. A., M. L. F. Estep, M. H. Engel, and P. Hare, 1986. Kinetic fractionation of stable
679 nitrogen isotopes during amino acid transamination. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*
680 50, 2143-2146.

681 Malmuthuge, N., P. J. Griebel, and L. L. Guan, 2015. The gut microbiome and its potential role
682 in the development and function of newborn calf gastrointestinal tract. *Frontiers in*
683 *Veterinary Science* 2, 36.

684 McAllan, A., 1980. The degradation of nucleic acids in, and the removal of breakdown products
685 from the small intestines of steers. *British Journal of Nutrition* 44, 99-112.

686 McAllister, T., H. Bae, G. Jones, and K.-J. Cheng, 1994. Microbial attachment and feed
687 digestion in the rumen. *Journal of Animal Science* 72, 3004-3018.

688 McCartney, C., I. Bull, and R. J. Dewhurst, 2014. Using archaeol to investigate the location of
689 methanogens in the ruminant digestive tract. *Livestock Science* 164,39-45.

690 McCartney, C., I. Bull, T. Yan, and R. J Dewhurst, 2013. Assessment of archaeol as a molecular
691 proxy for methane production in cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science* 96, 1211-1217.

692 McDonnell, R., K. Hart, T. Boland, A. Kelly, M. McGee, and D. Kenny, 2016. Effect of
693 divergence in phenotypic residual feed intake on methane emissions, ruminal

694 fermentation, and apparent whole-tract digestibility of beef heifers across three
695 contrasting diets. *Journal of Animal Science* 94, 1179-1193.

696 Meale, S. J., M. D. Auffret, M. Watson, D. P. Morgavi, G. Cantalapiedra-Hijar, C.-A. Duthie, R.
697 Roehe, and R. J. Dewhurst, 2018. Fat accretion measurements strengthen the
698 relationship between feed conversion efficiency and nitrogen isotopic discrimination
699 while rumen microbial genes contribute little. *Scientific Reports* 8, 1-7.

700 Meale, S. J., S. Li, P. Azevedo, H. Derakhshani, T. DeVries, J. Plaizier, M. Steele, and E.
701 Khafipour, 2017a. Weaning age influences the severity of gastrointestinal microbiome
702 shifts in dairy calves. *Scientific Reports* 7, 1-13.

703 Meale, S. J., S. Li, P. Azevedo, H. Derakhshani, J. C. Plaizier, E. Khafipour, and M. A. Steele,
704 2016. Development of ruminal and fecal microbiomes are affected by weaning but not
705 weaning strategy in dairy calves. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 7, 582.

706 Meale, S. J., D. P. Morgavi, I. Cassar-Malek, D. Andueza, I. Ortigues-Marty, R. J. Robins, A.-M.
707 Schiphorst, S. Laverroux, B. Graulet, and H. Boudra, 2017b. Exploration of biological
708 markers of feed efficiency in young bulls. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 65,
709 9817-9827.

710 Mercadante, M. E. Z., A. P. d. M. Caliman, R. C. Canesin, S. F. M. Bonilha, A. Berndt, R. T. S.
711 Frighetto, E. Magnani, and R. H. Branco, 2015. Relationship between residual feed
712 intake and enteric methane emission in Nelore cattle. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*
713 44, 255-262.

714 Muetzel, S., 2015. Framework & Milestone report–H2 management in the rumen, Final Report,
715 MPI Technical Paper No: 2018/30, Ministry for Primary Industries, NewZealand
716 Government, Wellington 6140, NewZealand. [http://www.mpi.govt.nz/news-](http://www.mpi.govt.nz/news-resources/publications.aspx)
717 [resources/publications.aspx](http://www.mpi.govt.nz/news-resources/publications.aspx)

718 Muetzel, S., and H. Clark, 2015. Methane emissions from sheep fed fresh pasture. *New*
719 *Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research* 58, 472-489.

720 Nasrollahi, S. M., S. J. Meale, D. P. Morgavi, A. M. Schiphorst, R. J. Robins, I. Ortigues-Marty,
721 and G. Cantalapiedra-Hijar, 2020. The origin of N isotopic discrimination and its
722 relationship with feed efficiency in fattening yearling bulls is diet-dependent. PLOS One
723 15, e0234344.

724 Negussie, E., Y. de Haas, F. Dehareng, R. J. Dewhurst, J. Dijkstra, N. Gengler, D. Morgavi, H.
725 Soyeurt, S. van Gastelen, and T. Yan, 2017. Invited review: Large-scale indirect
726 measurements for enteric methane emissions in dairy cattle: A review of proxies and
727 their potential for use in management and breeding decisions. Journal of Dairy Science
728 100, 2433-2453.

729 Neill, A. R., D. W. Grime, and R. Dawson, 1978. Conversion of choline methyl groups through
730 trimethylamine into methane in the rumen. Biochemical Journal 170, 529-535.

731 Nkrumah, J., J. Basarab, M. Price, E. Okine, A. Ammoura, S. Guercio, C. Hansen, C. Li, B.
732 Benkel, and B. Murdoch, 2004. Different measures of energetic efficiency and their
733 phenotypic relationships with growth, feed intake, and ultrasound and carcass merit in
734 hybrid cattle. Journal of Animal Science 82, 2451-2459.

735 Nkrumah, J., E. Okine, G. Mathison, K. Schmid, C. Li, J. Basarab, M. Price, Z. Wang, and S.
736 Moore, 2006. Relationships of feedlot feed efficiency, performance, and feeding
737 behavior with metabolic rate, methane production, and energy partitioning in beef cattle.
738 Journal of Animal Science 84, 145-153.

739 Raisbeck, M., S. Riker, C. Tate, R. Jackson, M. Smith, K. Reddy, and J. Zygmunt, 2008. Water
740 quality for Wyoming livestock & wildlife: a review of the literature pertaining to health
741 effects of inorganic contaminants, B-1183, Wyoming Game and Fish Department,
742 University of Wyoming, Cheyenne, Wyoming 82006, Western United State.

743 Rey, M., F. Enjalbert, S. Combes, L. Cauquil, O. Bouchez, and V. Monteils, 2014. Establishment
744 of ruminal bacterial community in dairy calves from birth to weaning is sequential.
745 Journal of Applied Microbiology 116, 245-257.

746 Richardson, E., and R. Herd, 2004. Biological basis for variation in residual feed intake in beef
747 cattle. 2. Synthesis of results following divergent selection. Australian Journal of
748 Experimental Agriculture 44, 431-440.

749 Rieu, F., G. Fonty, B. Gaillard, and P. Gouet, 1990. Electron microscopy study of the bacteria
750 adherent to the rumen wall in young conventional lambs. Canadian Journal of
751 Microbiology 36, 140-144.

752 Roehe, R., R. J. Dewhurst, C. A. Duthie, J. A. Rooke, N. McKain, D. W. Ross, J. J. Hyslop, A.
753 Waterhouse, T. C. Freeman, and M. Watson, 2016, Bovine host genetic variation
754 influences rumen microbial methane production with best selection criterion for low
755 methane emitting and efficiently feed converting hosts based on metagenomic gene
756 abundance. PLOS Genetics 12, e1005846. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005846>

757 Roman, R., C. Wilcox, and F. Martin, 2000. Estimates of repeatability and heritability of
758 productive and reproductive traits in a herd of Jersey cattle. Genetics and Molecular
759 Biology 23, 113-119.

760 Sahoo, A., D. Kamra, and N. Pathak, 2005. Pre-and postweaning attributes in faunated and
761 ciliate-free calves fed calf starter with or without fish meal. Journal of Dairy Science 88,
762 2027-2036.

763 Sander, E., R. Warner, H. Harrison, and J. Loosli, 1959. The stimulatory effect of sodium
764 butyrate and sodium propionate on the development of rumen mucosa in the young calf.
765 Journal of Dairy Science 42,1600-1605.

766 Schenkel, F., S. Miller, and J. Wilton, 2004. Genetic parameters and breed differences for feed
767 efficiency, growth, and body composition traits of young beef bulls. Canadian Journal of
768 Animal Science 84, 177-185.

769 Schwarm, A., M. Schweigel-Röntgen, M. Kreuzer, S. Ortmann, F. Gill, B. Kuhla, U. Meyer, M.
770 Lohölter, and M. Derno, 2015. Methane emission, digestive characteristics and faecal

771 archaeol in heifers fed diets based on silage from brown midrib maize as compared to
772 conventional maize. *Archives of Animal Nutrition* 69, 159-176.

773 Seshadri, R., S. C. Leahy, G. T. Attwood, K. H. Teh, S. C. Lambie, A. L. Cookson, E. A. Eloe-
774 Fadrosh, G. A. Pavlopoulos, M. Hadjithomas, and N. J. Varghese, 2018. Cultivation and
775 sequencing of rumen microbiome members from the Hungate1000 Collection. *Nature*
776 *Biotechnology* 36, 359.

777 Shingfield, K., 2000. Estimation of microbial protein supply in ruminant animals based on renal
778 and mammary purine metabolite excretion. A review. *Journal of Animal and Feed*
779 *Sciences* 9, 169-212.

780 Soberon, F., and M. Van Amburgh, 2013. Lactation Biology Symposium: The effect of nutrient
781 intake from milk or milk replacer of preweaned dairy calves on lactation milk yield as
782 adults: a meta-analysis of current data. *Journal of Animal Science* 91, 706-712.

783 Steele, M. A., G. B. Penner, and F. Chaucheyras-Durand, 2016. Development and physiology of
784 the rumen and the lower gut: Targets for improving gut health. *Journal of Dairy Science*
785 99, 4955-4966.

786 Stobo, I., J. Roy, and H. J. Gaston, 1966. Rumen development in the calf: 1. The effect of diets
787 containing different proportions of concentrates to hay on rumen development. *British*
788 *Journal of Nutrition* 20, 171-188.

789 Suárez, B., C. Van Reenen, G. Beldman, J. Van Delen, J. Dijkstra, and W. Gerrits, 2006. Effects
790 of supplementing concentrates differing in carbohydrate composition in veal calf diets: I.
791 Animal performance and rumen fermentation characteristics. *Journal of Dairy Science*
792 89, 4365-4375.

793 Talebi, M., 2012. Feed intake, feed efficiency, growth and their relationship with Kleiber ratio in
794 Lori-Bakhtiari lambs. *Archiva Zootechnica* 15, 33.

-
- 795 Tamate, H., A. McGilliard, N. Jacobson, and R. Getty, 1962. Effect of various dietaries on the
796 anatomical development of the stomach in the calf. *Journal of Dairy Science* 45, 408-
797 420.
- 798 Tamminga, S., 1979. Protein degradation in the forestomachs of ruminants. *Journal of Animal*
799 *Science* 49, 1615-1630.
- 800 Tedeschi, L., D. Fox, and J. Russell, 2000. Accounting for ruminal deficiencies of nitrogen and
801 branched-chain amino acids in the structure of the Cornell net carbohydrate and protein
802 system. *Proceedings of the Cornell Nutrition Conference for Feed Manufacturers.*
803 *October 24-26, 2000, Rochester Marriott Thruway Hotel, Rochester, New York, Article*
804 *number 19, 224-238.*
- 805 Van den Borne, J., M. Verstegen, S. Alferink, R. Giebels, and W. Gerrits, 2006. Effects of
806 feeding frequency and feeding level on nutrient utilization in heavy preruminant calves.
807 *Journal of Dairy Science* 89, 3578-3586.
- 808 Van Nevel, C., and D. Demeyer, 1996. Control of rumen methanogenesis. *Environmental*
809 *Monitoring and Assessment* 42, 73-97.
- 810 Van Soest, P. J., 1996. Allometry and ecology of feeding behavior and digestive capacity in
811 herbivores: a review. *Zoo Biology: Published in affiliation with the American Zoo and*
812 *Aquarium Association* 15, 455-479.
- 813 Vlaeminck, B., C. Dufour, A. Van Vuuren, A. Cabrita, R. J. Dewhurst, D. Demeyer, and V.
814 Fievez, 2005. Use of odd and branched-chain fatty acids in rumen contents and milk as
815 a potential microbial marker. *Journal of Dairy Science* 88, 1031-1042.
- 816 Vlaeminck, B., V. Fievez, A. Cabrita, A. Fonseca, and R. Dewhurst, 2006a. Factors affecting
817 odd-and branched-chain fatty acids in milk: A review. *Animal Feed Science and*
818 *Technology* 131, 389-417.

819 Vlaeminck, B., V. Fievez, D. Demeyer, and R. J. Dewhurst, 2006b. Effect of forage: concentrate
820 ratio on fatty acid composition of rumen bacteria isolated from ruminal and duodenal
821 digesta. *Journal of Dairy Science* 89, 2668-2678.

822 Waghorn, G., and R. Hegarty, 2011. Lowering ruminant methane emissions through improved
823 feed conversion efficiency. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 166, 291-301.

824 Wallace, R. J., G. Sasson, P. C. Garnsworthy, I. Tapio, E. Gregson, P. Bani, P. Huhtanen, A. R.
825 Bayat, F. Strozzi, and F. Biscarini, 2019. A heritable subset of the core rumen
826 microbiome dictates dairy cow productivity and emissions. *Science Advances* 5,
827 eaav8391.

828 Wang, Z., J. D. Nkrumah, C. Li, J.A. Basarab, L.A. Goonewardene, E.K. Okine, D.H.Crews Jr,
829 and S.S. Moore, 2006. Test duration for growth, feed intake, and feed efficiency in beef
830 cattle using the GrowSafe System. *Journal of Animal Science*, 84, 2289-2298.

831 Wang, Z., C. Elekwachi, J. Jiao, M. Wang, S. Tang, C. Zhou, Z. Tan, and R. J. Forster, 2017.
832 Changes in metabolically active bacterial community during rumen development, and
833 their alteration by rhubarb root powder revealed by 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing.
834 *Frontiers in Microbiology* 8,159.

835 Westreicher-Kristen, E., J. Castro-Montoya, M. Hasler, and A. Susenbeth, 2020. Relationship of
836 milk odd-and branched-chain fatty acids with urine parameters and ruminal microbial
837 protein synthesis in dairy cows fed different proportions of maize silage and red clover
838 silage. *Animals* 10, 316.

839 Wheadon, N., M. McGee, G. Edwards, and R. Dewhurst, 2014. Plasma nitrogen isotopic
840 fractionation and feed efficiency in growing beef heifers. *British Journal of Nutrition* 111,
841 1705-1711.

842 Yáñez-Ruiz, D. R., L. Abecia, and C. J. Newbold, 2015. Manipulating rumen microbiome and
843 fermentation through interventions during early life: a review. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 6,
844 1133.

846 **Table 1**

847 Markers/proxies to monitor rumen function in young ruminants.

Biomarker/proxy	Target use	Connection to physiology	Major factors affecting accuracy	Key references	Potential use
Urinary excretion of PD	Predicts rumen microbial (protein) synthesis	Nucleic acids leaving the rumen are essentially of microbial origin. Purines are important components of nucleic acids (the bases adenine and guanine) and absorbed purines are metabolised and excreted in urine as their end-products, which include allantoin, uric acid, xanthine and hypoxanthine.	1. variable purine to nitrogen ratio in bacteria. 2. losing PD through other excretion routes (e.g., uric acid and allantoin in saliva). 3. endogenous PD contributions.	Bates et al. (1985); Chen et al. (1992); Gonzalez-Ronquillo et al. (2003); Chen and Ørskov (2004)	Yes
Faecal ether lipids (archaeol)	Predicts enteric CH ₄ emissions	Unusual faecal lipids which originate from the membrane lipids of methanogens and so are related to methanogenesis.	1. passage rate of methanogens from the rumen (selective retention). 2. distribution and kinetics of methanogens in the rumen may contribute to genetic variation in CH ₄ production.	Gill et al. (2011); McCartney et al. (2013); Schwarm et al. (2015)	No

Breath sulphide	Indicates ruminal microbiota development	Rumen degradation of sulphur compounds generates hydrogen sulphide, which is absorbed and metabolised to sulphides, some of which are exhaled.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. water or feed contamination with sulphate. 2. variable proportion excreted in urine. 3. a functioning rumen microbial population and adaptation is required to establish relevant microbes. 	Raisbeck et al. (2008); Cammack et al. (2010); Lutnicki et al. (2014)	Yes
CH ₄ emissions	Indicates ruminal microbiota development	Anaerobic fermentation of feed in the rumen generates hydrogen, which is largely utilized by methanogens to form CH ₄ .	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. pre-weaning and young ruminants has low CH₄ emissions. 2. different methods (e.g., laser CH₄ detector vs. chambers) to measure CH₄ have very different accuracies. 	Chagunda (2013); Muetzel (2015)	Yes
OBCFA	Monitors rumen function, including microbial synthesis and volatile fatty acid proportions	OBCFA are hardly found in feedstuffs but are present at higher levels in rumen microbial lipids; these appear in animal lipids, including blood and milk.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. limited studies in young animals. 2. some post-ruminal synthesis or modification can affect OBCFA levels. 	Westreicher-Kristen et al. (2020)	No

848 PD = purine derivatives; CH₄ = methane; OBCFA = odd- and branched-chain fatty acids

849 **Table 2**

850 Markers/proxies to monitor feed efficiency in young ruminants.

Biomarker/proxy	Target use	Connection to physiology	Factors affecting accuracy	Key references	Potential use
BCS and BW gain	Indicates FE	BCS is related to body fat deposition, which is a major contributor to BW gain. BW gain contributes to the calculation of FE.	1. age 2. genetics 3. nutrition 4. measurement duration	Herd and Bishop (2000); Schenkel et al. (2004); Talebi (2012)	BCS- No BW gain- may be useful for feed conversion efficiency, but not for residual feed intake
CH ₄ emissions	Indicates FE	Methanogenesis is an energy loss during fermentation of feed in the rumen, thus CH ₄ emissions contribute to inefficient use of feed.	1. between animal variation in energy losses from the same diets. 2. difficulties to measure CH ₄ emissions accurately.	Johnson and Johnson (1995); Hegarty et al. (2007)	May be
Blood- and milk-based markers	Indicates FE /nitrogen	Biomarkers like insulin-like growth factor-1, aspartate aminotransferase, urea	1. genetics 2. nutrition	Richardson and Herd (2004); Huhtanen et al.	$\Delta^{15}\text{N}$ – Yes Others – May be

	use efficiency	nitrogen, plasma nitrogen isotopic fractionation ($\Delta^{15}\text{N}$) are related to either energy or protein metabolism or both.	3. protein turnover rate 4. sampling time	(2015); Cantalapiedra-Hijar et al. (2018a)	
Wool and hair-based marker	Indicates FE	Wool/hair $\Delta^{15}\text{N}$ is related to protein turnover and deamination and transamination in liver, which in turn reflects nitrogen use efficiency. Nitrogen use efficiency is a major component of FE.	1. genetics 2. nutrition 3. protein turnover rate 4. sampling techniques	Cheng et al. (2015); Meale et al. (2017b)	May be

851 CH₄ = methane; FE = feed efficiency

Dear editor

We revised the manuscript per technical editor suggestion:

There is additional documentation related to this decision letter. To access the file(s), please click the link below. You may also login to the system and click the 'View Attachments' link in the Action column.

<https://www.editorialmanager.com/animal/l.asp?i=376549&l=X4YVYS1T>

We went through the doc and revised the manuscript accordingly.

There is 1 section had less than 8 lines, but we need to keep it as it provides introduction to a specific section of this review paper.

There are a few references we cannot find all information needed per reference template, but we tried to provide as much as possible info that we can find.

Additional Comments from Editor/Reviewer(s) to Author, if any:

There are some minor typographical errors and corrections to wording in the revised sections that must be addressed prior to publication. A thorough proof-read of the paper is essential.

Done by native speaker of a co author.

p. 2 line 34-35 Should read 'In this review we discuss the usefulness of a range of proxies ...'

Changed

p. 10 line 223 Should read 'The multiple origins of sulphides in ruminal gases or breath makes its measurement limited as a potential marker of protein degradation, but it does seem a viable option to monitor the establishment of the rumen microbiota.'

Changed

p.12 line 263 should read 'Most respiration chamber facilities are designed for work with larger growing and adult cattle ...'

Changed

line 266 should be chambers

Changed