

Scotland's Rural College

Physicochemical and microbial profiling of litter over time post-harvest

Hussein, Marwa; Khattak, F; Vervelde, Lonneke; Athanasiadou, S; Houdijk, JGM

Print publication: 07/08/2022

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Hussein, M., Khattak, F., Vervelde, L., Athanasiadou, S., & Houdijk, JGM. (2022). *Physicochemical and microbial profiling of litter over time post-harvest*. Abstract from 26th World's Poultry Congress, Paris, France.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

**26th World's Poultry Congress
Book of abstracts 2021**



MORE INFORMATIONS AT

WPCPARIS2022.COM



26th World's Poultry Congress, abstracts selected in 2020

Book of abstracts

Abstracts submitted in 2019 and accepted in 2020

26th World's Poultry Congress

Organized by

French Branch of the World's Poultry Science Association

The 26th World's Poultry Congress - 07-11 August 2022

Publisher

French Branch of the World's Poultry Science Association

Editors

Dr Michèle TIXIER-BOICHARD, chair of 26th WPC

Dr Michel DUCLOS, Chairman of the Scientific Committee of 26th WPC

Professional Congress Organizer (PCO) – Colloquium Group (Paris, France)

ID : 1458

PHYSICOCHEMICAL AND MICROBIAL PROFILING OF POULTRY LITTER OVER TIME POST-HARVEST

M. Hussein*(1), F. Khattak(2), L.Vervelde(3), S.Athanasidou(4), J.Houdijk(4)

(1) SRUC - Scotland's Rural College and The Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

(2) Monogastric Science Research Centre, SRUC, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

(3) The Roslin Institute and R(D)SVS, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

(4) Monogastric Science Research Centre, SRUC, Roslin Institute Building, Easter Bush, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

* *Corresponding author:* Marwa.Hussein@sruc.ac.uk

Whilst experimental exposure to used litter often aims to induce reduced performance arising from anticipated litter-derived challenges (1,2), performance may be improved instead (3,4). Such variation may be associated with differences in litter quality between studies, e.g. arising from variation in pathogen load and duration between litter collection and usage. Here we investigated changes over time in microbial profiling and quality of litter, derived from a 35d broiler trial. Litter was kept at room temperature at trial end (d0), 200 g samples were collected weekly in sterile plastic bags at d0, d7, d14, d21 and d28, and stored at -80 °C pending analysis of pH, moisture and microbial profiling. The latter included quantification of 16S ribosomal DNA for total bacterial counts, 18S ribosomal DNA for total fungal counts and PCR screens for selected pathogens. DNA extracted from litter-derived bacterial pellets (5) using the DNeasy® PowerSoil® kit, was used to quantify total bacteria and fungi, *Salmonella* spp., avian pathogenic *E. coli* O1:K1:H7 (APEC) and *Clostridium perfringens* through qPCR, with outcomes converted into log gene copy number per g litter (cpg). Analysis of variance showed that pH gradually reduced from 8.42 at d0 to 8.09 at d28 (s.e.d. 0.066; P=0.002). Moisture levels were stable between d0 and d14 at ~33.7%, and then gradually reduced to 12.5% at d28 (s.e.d. 2.28%; P<0.001). Total bacteria increased from 8.06 log cpg at d0 to 8.25 log cpg at d7, then gradually reduced to 7.71 log cpg by d21 and then increased to 8.23 log cpg at d28 (s.e.d. 7.44 log cpg; P<0.001). Total fungi gradually reduced from 6.85 log cpg at d0 to 6.30 log cpg at d28 (s.e.d. 6.49 log cpg; P=0.013). PCR results revealed that this litter was negative for *Salmonella* spp and *Clostridium perfringens*. However, APEC gradually reduced from 7.00 log cpg at d0 to 6.30 log cpg at d28 (s.e.d. 5.67 log cpg; P<0.001). Thus, microbial profiles and quality of litter may vary over time post-harvest. Future work employing reused litter methods may benefit from detailed litter characterisation to optimise study design, to define what constitutes a sufficient pathogenic load, and to assist explaining subsequent outcomes on broiler performance and gut health.

1 Kidd et al 2003. *J App Poult Res* 12, 115-123

2 O'Reilly et al 2016. *Proteome Sci* 15, 10

3 Kennard et al 2017. *Poult Sci* 30, 47- 54

4 Yamak et al 2015. *Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg* 22, 85- 91

5 Lu et al 2003. *App Env Microbiol* 69, 901-908